Exhibit 28

```
Page 1
 1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2.
 3
     WHITESTONE CONSTRUCTION, CORP.,)
                Plaintiff,
 4
 5
                                         No. 20-cv-1006
          vs.
     YUANDA USA CORPORATION,
 6
                Defendant.
 7
 8
 9
                 The videotaped videoconference deposition of
10
     STEVEN GRZIC, called for examination, taken pursuant to
11
     the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States
12
     District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions,
13
     taken before KELLY A. BRICHETTO, CSR No. 84-3252,
14
     Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, on
15
     the 27th day of January, 2021, at 9:09 a.m.
16
17
18
19
     REPORTED REMOTELY FROM CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
20
21
22
23
24
```

Page 2	Page 4
1 REMOTE APPEARANCES:	1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS
2	2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED
On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	3 Exhibit No. 2 Complaint 143 Exhibit No. 4 Whitestone PO 13-0139-002 36
3	4 Exhibit No. 5 AIA form contract, A401
GOETZ FITZPATRICK, LLP, by	and Rider A 20 5 Exhibit No. 6 RFI 75
4 MR. DONALD J. CARBONE	Exhibit No. 7 Whitestone Proposed
1 Pennsylvania Plaza	6 Change Order Number 98 94 Exhibit No. 8 E-mail 95
5 Suite 3100 New York, New York 10119	Exhibit No. 8 E-mail 95 7 Exhibit No. 10 Description of dispute
6 (212) 695-8100	dated May 6, 2019 97
dcarbone@goetzfitz.com	8 Exhibit No. 12 Letter Whitestone to Yuanda June 24th, 2019 99
7	9 Exhibit No. 17 Summary of Whitestone's
8 On behalf of the Defendant:	costs 149 10 Exhibit No. 19 Updated Summary of
9 FOX SWIBEL LEVIN & CARROLL, LLP, by	Whitestone's costs 149
MR. ADAM GILL	11 Exhibit No. 20 Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure Pursuant to
10 222 West Madison Street	12 Rule 26 8
Chicago, Illinois 60606	Exhibit No. 21 Checklist and Exhibit A
11 (312) 224-1200	13 to Rider B 20 Exhibit No. 22 Spec Section 084413
agill@foxswibel.com	14 Glazed Aluminum Curtainwall 63
13	Exhibit No. 23 Spec Section 084426 15 Glass Curtainwalls 63
14	Exhibit No. 24 Section 088000 Glazing 63
15 ALSO PRESENT:	16 Exhibit No. 25 Original submission returned
16 MR. JUSTIN BOND, Legal Videographer	by the architect dated 17 October 15th, 2014 81
17	Exhibit No. 26 Architect's review and
18	18 return of the revised drawings dated
19	19 February 23rd, 2015 81
20	Exhibit No. 27 Declaration 115
21 22	20 21
23	22
24	23 24
Page 3	Page 5
1 TRANSCRIPT INDEX	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is
2 APPEARANCES 2	2 January 27th, 2021. The time is 9:09 a.m., and we are on
3	3 the record.
4 INDEX OF EXHIBITS 4	4 Today we'll take a videotaped deposition
5	5 in case Number 20-cv 1006. This deposition is being held
6 EXAMINATION OF STEVEN GRZIC	6 remotely.
7 BY MR. GILL 6	7 Counsel, please state your appearance and
8	8 affiliation for the record.
9	9 MR. GILL: Adam Gill, G-I-L-L, with Fox Swibel
10	10 in Chicago representing Defendant, Yuanda USA
11 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	11 Corporation.
12	_
	MR. CARBONE: Donald J. Carbone for Goetz
13 EXHIBIT CUSTODY	13 Fitzpatrick, LLP for the Plaintiff.
14 COURT REPORTER	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
15	Would you please swear the witness.
16	16
17	17
18	18
19	19
19 20	19 20
20	20
20 21	20 21
20 21 22	20 21 22
20 21 22 23	20 21 22 23
20 21 22	20 21 22

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

Page 6 Page 8 (Witness sworn.) 1 said, what you heard and things like that, but I don't 1 2 want you to guess. I told Mr. Dearth last week I want, 2 WHEREUPON: STEVEN GRZIC, 3 3 you know, the best answer you could give but I also want 4 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, 4 answers based on what you know or what you saw or what 5 was examined and testified as follows: 5 you did. DIRECT EXAMINATION Is that okay as ground rules? 6 7 BY MR. GILL: 7 A. Yes. Q. Can you state your name and spell your last 8 Q. Okay. If you can look at Exhibit 20, 9 name for the record, please. 9 Defendant's Exhibit 20. I saw Mr. Carbone open the 10 A. My name is Steven Grzic, G-R-Z-I-C. 10 FedEx. Thank you for doing that. Yep. I'd just like --11 MR. GILL: Okay. For the record, this is the 11 yep. Take off the binder clip and look at the top 12 exhibit which is Exhibit 20. 12 discovery deposition of Steve Grzic taken pursuant to 13 notice and agreement of the parties, the Federal Rules of 13 And, Don, I do intend to use some of the 14 Civil Procedure and all local rules. 14 exhibits I used last week. Do you have those available 15 BY MR. GILL: 15 for Mr. Grzic? 16 Q. Mr. Grzic, have you ever given a deposition 16 MR. CARBONE: He has those in a pile. 17 before? 17 MR. GILL: Okay. Thank you. 18 A. Yes, I have. 18 BY MR. GILL: 19 How many times? 19 Q. And, for the record, Exhibit 20 is 20 20 Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure Pursuant to Rule 26. Five, six times. 21 Q. Okay. When was the last time you gave one? Mr. Grzic, if you can read on the first page 22 A. Probably two, three years ago. 22 to yourself what it says about what your knowledge is. 23 Okay. 23 (Witness peruses document.) Q. 24 24 Best guess. A. Okay. Page 7 Page 9 Q. I'm going to go over the basics just so we're Q. Do you agree with the statement about what 2 your knowledge -- the description of what your knowledge 2 on the same page and you understand my expectations and I 3 about this project is? 3 understand so that we have the same ground rules. Obviously there's a court reporter here Yes, a general knowledge. 5 taking down everything you and I say, and even in the 5 Q. Okay. I just want to ask you that because 6 those are kind of the topics in general that we're going 6 best of times, it's difficult to take down the questions 7 and answers properly, but with a remote deposition, it's 7 to be discussing today, and I want to make sure you agree 8 with that statement. 8 even more of a problem, so I ask that all of your answers Before we get into the substance of this, the 9 or responses be verbal. Nods of the head obviously don't 10 dispute between Whitestone and Yuanda, aside from 10 translate well but -- so the same thing for um-hum, 11 na-huh, kind of nonverbal but responses like that, so I 11 conversations with any of your attorneys have you 12 ask that all your answers be verbally. 12 discussed the subject matter of this deposition with 13 anyone? If you need to take a break at any time, just 14 let me know. I only ask that if there's a question 14 A. No. 15 Did you have any discussions with James 15 pending you answer the question and then we take a break. 16 Dearth after his deposition last week? 16 I'm going to ask you about a lot of 17 A. I simply -- I simply asked him in general how 17 communication and -- between you and others, and I want 18 to make sure that you understand I'm not talking about 18 did it go. 19 any communication with Mr. Carbone, Mr. Kushner or anyone 19 Q. And what did Mr. Dearth tell you? 20 else with their firm. 20 A. He said he thought it went fine. 21 Q. What did you do to prepare for this 21 And most importantly, this deposition is 22 going to be about your personal knowledge and not for you 22 deposition today? 23 A. I -- I met with my lawyer. 23 as a representative of Whitestone Construction, so I want 24 Q. Did you look at any documents? 24 to know what you, what you saw, what you did, what you

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Page 10 Page 12 A. Yes. 1 Q. As project manager, what was your role? 1 2 2 To oversee a certain amount of projects Q. What documents did you look at? 3 specifically, not to be involved in -- in general in the 3 A. I was -- it was many documents. Can I 4 remember specifically anything? The only thing that I 4 company. 5 remember specifically was the -- our purchase order of 5 Q. How would you define Whitestone's business 6 White -- the purchase order between Whitestone 6 generally? 7 Construction and Yuanda. A. We're a construction company based in New 8 Q. Okay. So some background information about 8 York City that does -- that -- that specializes in facade 9 you. 10 Q. Facade work being window systems, 10 What is your highest level of education? 11 A. I have a bachelor's degree in engineering. 11 curtainwall, other cladding systems? A. Windows, curtainwall, roofing, masonry, Q. Okay. Where did you receive that? 12 12 A. Manhattan College. 13 anything to do with the building enclosure. 13 14 14 Q. When did you receive that? Q. For commercial construction projects? 15 Some -- some pri -- some private but mostly 15 A. 1998. 16 Are you currently employed? 16 public works. 17 17 Q. Prior to beginning with Whitestone in 2007 18 What is your position? 18 did you have any experience in the commercial 19 I'm Vice-President at Whitestone 19 construction industry? 20 Construction. 20 A. Prior to that, between graduating college and 21 to that year, I was a design engineer. Q. As the Vice-President of Whitestone, what are 22 Q. A design engineer with whom? 22 your -- what is your general role or duties? A. For an HVA -- mechanical, electrical, 23 A. Oversee the -- oversee all the projects in 24 general. I don't get involved to the day -- day to day 24 plumbing design firm. Page 11 Page 13 1 usually, but if I have to, I do, but otherwise, it's a 1 So it was not a cladding company --2 general -- a general involvement in all the projects. 2 No. Q. How many projects generally does Whitestone 3 -- or facade? Okay. Q. 4 have going at any one time? 4 No. 5 When did you become vice-president of 5 A. Anywhere between 10 to 15. Q. Q. During the course of the project that's the 6 Whitestone? 7 subject of this dispute from 2013 to 2017, was this the 7 Vice-president would be probably last year. 8 larger -- largest project that Whitestone had? 8 Q. What was your role or title prior to becoming 9 A. Yes, I believe so. 9 vice-president? 10 Who are the -- the founders of Whitestone? 10 A. I was president. 11 The founder was my father. 11 Q. When did you become president? 12 12 A. Approximately 2009 I would say, 2008, 2009. When did he start Whitestone? 13 I think 1983, 1984, something like that. 13 Q. Okay. So approximately 2009 through 14 Q. And, for the record, what's your father's 14 approximately 2018 or '19 you were president? 15 name? 15 A. 2019, yeah. Probably, yeah. A. Boris, B-O-R-I-S. 16 Q. Why did you go from president to 16 17 Is this a family-owned business? 17 vice-president? Q. 18 A. Yes, it is. 18 A. Because my sister is the other owner of 19 Can you describe your -- briefly describe 19 Whitestone Construction, so we -- for our own internal 20 your history at Whitestone? 20 reasons, she -- we decided to switch roles, which she A. I started full time at Whitestone around 2007 21 became the president and I became the vice-president. 22 or 2008. 22 Q. Are -- you said it was founded by your 23 Q. And what was your position when you started? 23 father. Is he still -- is he still involved in the

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

24 company?

A. Originally project manager.

1 A. No, he is not.

- 2 Q. Okay. It's you and your sister who are
- 3 co-owners?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When you were -- had the role of president,
- 6 was your involvement in projects much as it is now, just
- 7 kind of a general overview of the projects without being
- 8 involved day to day?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. For this project, this litigation or the --
- 11 Strike that.
- For this project relating to the curtainwall
- 13 system where Whitestone hired Yuanda you were on a
- 14 significant number of e-mails between Whitestone and
- 15 Yuanda. Is that common?
- 16 A. This job had more problems than normal job --
- 17 than the normal job, so yes, when jobs are -- when
- 18 certain jobs have a lot more issues, then yes, I'm more
- 19 involved.
- Q. Okay. Are you -- there are also a number of
- 21 e-mails where you had personally sent e-mails to Yuanda.
- 22 Is that common when a project is more complicated or has
- 23 more problems?
- 24 A. Yes.

1

- Page 15
- Q. And from the e-mails, it's my interpretation,
- 2 please correct me if I'm wrong, that you were familiar
- 3 with Yuanda's work generally; is that accurate?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What do you understand Yuanda's part of this 6 project to be?
- 7 A. They were the design engineers of -- and
- 8 manufacturers of the curtainwall system and other
- 9 building enclosure systems.
- 10 Q. And are you aware of the problems that arose
- 11 during or after construction regarding the curtainwall
- 12 system known as the WT-3 clerestory?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is your general understanding of that
- 15 problem or issue?
- 16 A. That the -- that the system
- 17 itself was rejected by the architect of record and,
- 18 therefore, by the owner and, therefore, by -- by the
- 19 construction manager.
- 20 Q. I'm going to ask questions about general
- 21 construction terms, so, again, you and I have the same
- 22 understanding, same basis of information so that we can
- 23 talk in the same language.
- Do you understand what a scope of work is?

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. What is your understanding of that phrase?
 - 3 A. That's a description of the responsibilities
 - 4 of a -- of any party in a -- in a construction contract.
 - 5 Q. And that scope of work, since you said the
 - 6 construction contract, is that scope of work defined by
 - 7 the contract?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 Q. The term defective work, does that mean
 - 10 something specific to you?
 - 11 A. Defective would mean something that's -- that
 - 12 has been rejected by anybody with authority during the
 - 13 project.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the term warranty work?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. What does that mean?
 - 17 A. Warranty work is anything that -- anything
 - 18 through the normal use of -- normal use of and wear --
 - 19 natural wear and tear of a product is under our warranty,
 - 20 is under our guarantee for a certain period of time
 - 21 due -- under normal wear and tear just something failing
 - 22 in the system.
 - Q. Is your understanding that warranty work,
 - 24 correction of warranty work is covered by, generally

Page 17

- 1 covered by a contractor's subcontract?
 - 2 A. I -- can you repeat that one more time?
 - Q. Is it your understanding whether or not the
 - 4 correction or repairs to something that's determined to
- 5 be warranty work is covered or not covered by a
- 6 subcontract or a contract?
- 7 A. By a specific -- you mean --
- 8 Q. Generally.
- 9 A. Yes, it could be covered by a contract or a
- 10 subcontract, yes.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the term punch list or
- 12 punch list work?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is punch list work?
- 15 A. Punch list work is generally just a list of
- 16 out -- minor outstanding items that need to be finished
- 17 in order for the -- for an owner or an architect to -- to
- 18 finally accept the work.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now I'm going to get into the
- 20 substance of what we're here to talk about today. I'm
- 21 going to start talking about the contracts.
- Are you familiar with the contract between
- 23 the general contractor, Sciame, and the owner?
- A. Between Sciame and the owner?

	Page 18	Page 20
1	Q. Yes.	1 A. Yes. Because technically they're not a
2	A. Am I aware of the contract?	2 general contractor. They're a construction manager.
3	Q. Yes.	3 There's there are differences.
4	A. Yes.	4 Q. I know, but you have a contract with Sciame.
5	Q. Are you familiar are you aware of it?	5 You don't have a contract with the public owner?
6	A. I'm aware there is a contract, yes.	6 A. Correct.
7	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that contract?	7 Q. Were there any parts even though you
8	A. I don't believe, no.	8 couldn't negotiate were there any parts of the
9	Q. Have you seen that contract?	9 subcontract that Whitestone was concerned about or had
10	A. Not that I remember specifically, no.	10 objections about?
11	Q. Do you know if you or Whitestone have a copy	11 A. Not that I specifically remember at the time.
12	of that contract?	12 Q. If you can look at what was previously marked
13	A. I am not sure if we do. I can't say for	13 as Exhibit 5 and new Exhibit 21.
14	certain	14 And, for the record, Exhibit 5 is the AIA
15	Q. So you don't know that; right?	15 form contract, the A401 and Rider A. Exhibit 21 is a
16	A. I can't say definitively I cannot say	16 checklist and Exhibit A to Rider B.
17	definitively yes, we do have a contract. I don't I'm	Do you recognize these documents, Exhibits 5
18	not sure.	18 and 21?
19	Q. Are you familiar with the subcontract between	19 A. Yes.
20	Whitestone and Sciame?	Q. What are these documents?
21	A. Somewhat, yes.	A. These are basically the contract between
22	Q. Did you take any part in negotiating the	22 Whitestone Construction and Sciame.
23	terms of that contract?	Q. And, for the record, these are just a
24	A. I signed that contract.	24 portion I will represent these are just a portion of
	Page 19	Page 21
1	Q. Did you take part in negotiating that	1 the contract. It's not the entire contract.
2	contract?	2 A. Yes.
3	A. This is a public public bid. There's	3 Q. If you can turn to page WCC859 in Exhibit 5
4	really no negotiating in the contract.	4 which is the numbered page 18 of the AIA form document.
5	Q. There's no negotiating the terms of the	5 Do you see that?
6	subcontract, is that your testimony?	6 A. Was it 859?
7	A. Yeah, pretty much, yes.	7 Q. 859.
8	Q. Since there's no negotiating, did Sciame just	8 A. Okay.
	present you with a draft subcontract and say you sign it	9 Q. Do you recognize the signature, either of the
10	or you walk away, it's your choice?	10 signatures on that page?
11	A. That's what it was that's what's inferred,	11 A. One of them is my signature, and the other's
	yes.	12 a signature of the executive vice-president of Sciame.
13	Q. Did prior to signing the subcontract did	13 Q. Okay. Your signature is above the one that
14	Whitestone do you know if Whitestone submitted a	14 says subcontract; is that correct?
15	proposal?	15 A. Correct.
16	A. It was a it was a public bid that we	16 Q. The first page of the subcontract says it was
	submitted.	17 made as of October 7th, 2013. Do you know if that's
18	Q. So there was a proposed you responded to	18 accurate?
	an RFP or something?	MR. CARBONE: What page are you referring
20	A. Yes. Correct. Yeah, a sealed envelope with	20 to the first page of the AIA document?
	our with our number.	MR. GILL: Yeah, on the AIA document page 842.
22	Q. Even though you were a subcontractor you were	MR. CARBONE: Bates stamp, okay.
	required to submit a sealed bid to the general	23 BY MR. GILL:
24	contractor?	24 Q. The document says

Page 22 Page 24 A. Are you waiting --1 are not part of this checklist are also part of our 1 2 Q. Sorry. 842 says the agreement's made as of 2 contract also, so this -- this contract basically is just 3 October 7th, 2013. Do you know if that's accurate? 3 a list of general items that are part of -- general major A. Appears to be, yes. 4 items that are part of our checklist. I'm sorry. Major Q. Do you know when you or Whitestone first 5 general -- general major items that are part of our scope 6 received a draft of this subcontract relative to that 6 of work. 7 date? 7 Q. Okay. If you look at page 867 --A. I would not know. A. Okay. 9 Q. Okay. What is the scope of work for this Q. -- line item 41, and, for the record, that 10 subcontract? 10 states: "Provide all shop drawings, product submittals, 11 A. The scope of work is to provide the exterior 11 details, calculations and samples, et cetera, as 12 building envelope for this building. 12 specified and/or required to complete the scope of this Q. Does that include the WT-3 clerestory system? 13 work.' 13 14 14 A. Yes, it does. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Does the subcontract or the scope of work What does that mean to you? Q. 16 include the design for the WT-3 clerestory? 16 A. Basically -- sorry just to repeat to you but 17 A. Yes, it does. 17 basically means exactly what it says. "Provide all shop 18 Q. And includes the fabrication and delivery of 18 drawings, product submittals, detail calculations and 19 the WT-3 clerestory? 19 samples as specified and are required to complete the

22

A. Yes. 21 Q. That obviously includes the installation of 22 that; right? 23 A. Yes.

23 If you can turn to 869, line item 66. 24 A. Okay. Page 23

24 Q. If you look to Exhibit 21, pages 866 through 1 874, it appears to be a chart or a checklist of some 2 kind. Do you see that? 3 A. 866 through 874? 4 Yep. 5 A. Okay. Q. What is that? 7 A. It's just a -- the trade scope checklist. 8 Q. What is a trade scope checklist? 9 A. It's just basically a list that's part of the

10 contract just to make sure -- to clarify what is in but 11 not limited to our scope of work in the contract. Q. In this chart, in this checklist, on the

13 right hand column it's a yes and no, and the columns that 14 are marked yes, what does that mean?

15 A. That this would be in the contract between 16 Whitestone and Sciame. Q. Is everything that's listed in here the

18 labor, materials and services that Whitestone agreed to 19 provide to the project?

20 A. Yes, but this list is not all inclusive. 21 Q. What's not included in this list?

22 A. I -- because technically all the --

23 everything listed in the specs and the drawings are 24 also -- anything listed in the specs and drawings that

Page 25 Q. And that states: "Provide PE engineered and 2 stamped drawings for structural review for all scope,

20 scope of work." I -- I wouldn't know how to break

21 that -- that sentence down any -- any further.

Q. Okay. Fair enough.

3 provide calculations for review, coordinate them with PE

4 stamped drawings." My question is the same as the last

5 one. Is that self-explanatory or does that mean 6 something other than what it states?

7 A. No. To me it's self-explanatory.

8 Q. What are PE engineered and stamped drawings? A. PE stands for professional engineer who's --

10 who is an engineer that's licensed by the state to

11 provide such services and take responsibility for an

12 engineered system, so for -- an engineer with a license

13 by the state has to engineer and -- engineer, design and

14 provide calculations to back up his design. 15 Q. The two line items we looked at, 41 and 66,

16 relate to all of the cladding system; is that correct?

Correct. 18 O. Including the WT-3 clerestory?

19

20 Q. If you look on page 871 and 872.

21 A. Okay.

22 I'm not going to read this and I don't -- if

23 you -- you can read it if you need to, but line items 98 24 through 103, generally what does this refer to?

- 1 A. This has more to do with the -- oh, you said
- 2 98 through which one?
- 3 O. 103.
- 4 A. This is again the trade scope checklist.
- 5 That pretty much just goes into more detail, more
- 6 technical detail of -- of our scope of work. Again, this
- 7 would not -- would not be all inclusive but is more
- 8 general -- a more generalization of our -- of our
- 9 technical scope of work.
- 10 Q. And, just for the record, part of these -- 98
- 11 through 102 relates to aluminum, sorry, glazed aluminum
- 12 curtainwall, and 103 relates to the structural glass
- 13 curtainwall.
- 14 It's my understanding from this that 98
- 15 through 103, the glazed aluminum curtainwall, it lists
- 16 type. Does that include the WT10 -- the WT-3 clerestory?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. Is that -- when it says type 1A, 1B, 1C, 3,
- 19 is the 3 the WT-3?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. You have to say that again. You
- 21 lost me.
- Q. Sure. Directly above the line, line item 89,
- 23 there's a line that --
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. -- says project requirements glazed aluminum
- 2 curtainwall (type 1A, 1B, 1C 3).
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you see that? Does that 3 refer to the
- 5 WT-3 clerestory or curtainwall system?
- 6 A. That would be my understanding, yes.
 - Q. Okay. If you look at the last page of
- 8 Exhibit 21 which is Bates stamped 960 --
- 9 A. Yes.

7

- 10 Q. -- it is a document that says Exhibit A,
- 11 Description of Project. What is the -- you can read it
- 12 into the record if you want, read it to yourself. What
- 13 is that description? What does that relate to?
- 14 A. "The work consists of the procurement and
- 15 installation of all exterior wall systems including
- 16 glazed aluminum, structural glass curtainwall systems,
- 17 aluminum framed entrances and storefronts, Terracotta
- 18 wall systems, steel framed window wall, aluminum framed
- 19 folding wall and channel glass wall assemblies."
- 20 Q. And what is that? Is that work that
- 21 Whitestone was gonna provide?
- 22 A. Yes. Again, this is just a general overview
- 23 and description of our scope of work. Again, not all --
- 24 again, this is not all encompassing.

1 Q. And that includes all glazed aluminum

- 2
- 2 curtainwall system in the WT-3?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. If you can turn back to Exhibit 5, page 844,

Page 28

- 5 Article 1 on Page 3.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 O. You see that the first sentence states in
- 8 part: "The subcontract documents consist of," and then
- 9 2: "The prime contract consisting of the agreement
- 10 between owner and contractor and the other contract
- 11 documents enumerated therein." Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. Do you know what that means?
- 14 A. Again, this is -- you mean the entire
- 15 sentence?
- 16 Q. The reference to the prime contract being a
- 17 part of the subcontract documents.
- 18 A. Yes. It means that the -- the contract, any
- 19 Terms and Conditions of the contract between the
- 20 construction manager, Sciame, and the owner are made part
- 21 of the contract between Whitestone and Sciame.
- Q. And based on your testimony a couple minutes
- 23 ago, it's my understanding that you are not aware whether
- 24 you saw or Whitestone has a copy of that prime contract?

- 1 A. No, I don't know. No.
- Q. If you can turn to the next page, 845,
- 3 Article 2.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. The first sentence states in part: "The
- 6 subcontractor expressly assumes to contractor all of the
- 7 obligations and responsibilities of the performance of
- 8 this subcontract as the contractor assumes towards the
- 9 owner -- toward the owner respecting the performance of
- $10\,$ the prime contract." What does that sentence mean to
- 11 you?
- 12 A. That any obligations that the contractor has
- 13 to the owner is also the responsibility -- is also
- 14 obligations that the subcontractor would owe to the
- 15 contractor and the owner.
- Q. Do you remember seeing that language before
- 17 you signed this subcontract?
- 18 A. Do I specifically remember that? No, but
- 19 that's -- it's a general -- it's -- it's industry
- 20 practice to -- for that to be included in contracts.
- Q. How familiar are you with the AIA 401
- 22 contract?
- A. We don't use it that often, but I've come
- 24 across it before.

Q. If you can turn to page 847. 1

- 2 Section 4.1.5 --
- A. Okay. 3
- -- states in part, the first sentence states:
- 5 "The subcontract agrees that the contractor and the
- 6 architect shall have the authority to reject work of the
- 7 subcontractor that does not conform to the prime
- 8 contract." What does that sentence mean to you?
- A. That's, again, pretty self-explanatory, that
- 10 the contract -- the contractor and the architect have the
- 11 right to reject work and anything that's -- anything
- 12 provided under our contract.
- 13 Q. In your experience, how common is that?
- A. How common that -- that something gets
- 15 rejected or how common that this term or this condition
- 16 is in the contract?
- 17 Q. Good question. Fair clarification.
- 18 How common is it for this requirement to be
- 19 included in -- in a subcontract?
- A. Very, very, very common.
- 21 Q. Is it more common than not that this appears
- 22 in a contract?
- A. Yes. I would -- if I had to guess at a
- 24 number, this is included 99 percent of the time.

- 1 Q. When you signed this contract, were you aware
- 2 that the owner and the architect had the authority to
- 3 reject Whitestone's work?
- A. Yes.
- Q. If you can turn to the next page, 848. Under
- 6 Section 4.5. Warranty, the second and third sentences
- 7 read: "The subcontractor further warrants that the work
- 8 will conform to the requirements of the subcontract
- 9 documents and will be free from defects except for those
- 10 inherent in the quality of the work of the -- of --
- 11 strike that -- the quality of the work the subcontract
- 12 documents require or permit. Work materials or equipment
- 13 not conforming to these requirements may be considered
- 14 defective." What does that mean to you?
- A. The subcontractor further warrants that the
- 16 work will be -- will conform to the requirements of the
- 17 subcontract documents and will be free from defects
- 18 except for those inherent in the quality of the work the
- 19 subcontract documents require or permit." What does this
- 20 mean to me?
- 21 Q. Yep.
- 22 A. Again, I can't, I can't really -- I wouldn't
- 23 know how to explain any further or break it down any
- 24 easier than what this says, so, so I'm not sure I can

1 give you an answer.

- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. It's -- to me it's self-explanatory.
- 4 During the dispute or the discussions between
- 5 Whitestone and Yuanda, and I believe you had said this in

Page 32

Page 33

- 6 e-mails, Whitestone and I believe, like I said, you had
- 7 said that Whitestone and Yuanda were required to perform
- 8 work under protest. What did you mean by that?
- A. That I -- as per Whitestone's contract with
- 10 the construction manager, Sciame, that -- if they -- if
- 11 they deemed something defective or not -- not -- or not
- 12 proscribing to contract documents, that even if we feel
- 13 that we are owed an extra to conduct the work that we
- 14 have to -- we cannot -- we cannot -- we -- we are not
- 15 allowed to not do the work as per contract until -- until
- 16 a change order is issued, that we have to as per contract
- 17 proceed with the work while any negotiations or -- or --
- 18 I don't know. What's the other term? While any
- 19 negotiations or claims are filed regarding this --
- 20 regarding the extra work, that we are not allowed to
- 21 not -- we are not allowed to not do the work.
- 22 Q. If you turn to page 850 in Exhibit 5, down at
- 23 the bottom is paragraph 5.1.4. Is your understanding of
- 24 your requirement to perform under protest as you

- 1 described it, is that required by this paragraph or is
- 2 there -- as far as you know -- I'm not asking for a legal
- 3 conclusion. I know your attorney is going to interpret
- 4 the contract, and we're going to argue about that, but as
- 5 far as you understand the contract and Whitestone's
- 6 requirements, is that in 5.1.4 or is that somewhere else?
- A. Yes. What I was referring to is -- is
- 8 mentioned in 5.1.4. It could also be in another part of
- 9 the contract that I'm not aware, but this 5.1.4 does --
- 10 does refer. What I was saying before is referred to in
- 12 Q. Okay. I want to turn your attention and talk
- 13 about the purchase order now. I want to talk about
- 14 purchase orders generally before we get to the ones
- 15 specific to this.

11 5.1.4.

- 16 A. Am I done with this exhibit?
- 17 Q. I believe we are --
- 18 A. For now?
- -- done with that one -- we're done with it 19
- 20 for now, and I believe we are done --
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 -- with it for the day.
- 23 MR. CARBONE: Where are we going, what
- 24 exhibit?

Proc. 24	D 20
Page 34 1 MR. GILL: Pardon?	Page 36 1 marked as Exhibit 4, the document entitled Whitestone
2 MR. CARBONE: What exhibit?	2 Construction Corporation Purchase Order 13-0139-002. Do
3 MR. GILL: I'm not I'm not directing him to	3 you recognize this document?
4 an exhibit yet.	4 A. Yes. This is the purchase order between
5 MR. CARBONE: Oh.	5 Whitestone and Yuanda.
6 BY MR. GILL:	6 Q. Okay. And if you look at the second page, do
7 Q. In 2013 were you generally familiar with	7 you recognize there are two signatures. Do you
8 Whitestone's purchase order and the process where it	8 recognize the signature?
9 hires vendors?	9 A. One is my signature, and the other one is by
10 A. Can you repeat that one more time?	10 the president of Yuanda USA.
11 Q. In 2013 were you familiar with Whitestone's	11 Q. All the other pages except the signature page
12 purchase orders and the way that Whitestone generally	12 have two sets of initials in the lower right. Do you
13 hires vendors to perform work?	13 recognize one or both sets of initials?
14 A. In general, yes.	14 A. One of them is mine. The other seems to be
15 Q. Okay. Generally what is the process that	15 from the president of Yuanda USA.
16 Whitestone used in 2013 to hire vendors?	16 Q. Okay. Looking just at the first two pages,
17 A. Basically was to get a proposal from them at	17 did you take any part in drafting or creating or
18 the time of bid for the project and and when we submit	18 negotiating this purchase order?
19 our price to to an owner or a construction manager,	19 A. Not really.
20 we based on our estimate, we we come up with our	Q. Who at Whitestone, if you know, drafted and
21 number based on the numbers provided to us by vendors ar	
22 subcontractors, and we decide to use their numbers	A. It would be Phil Carvelas.
23 basically if we have any history with them, if we trust	Q. And what is what was Phil's title in 2013?
24 them, if they've performed in the past and and take	24 A. He's project manager.
Page 35	Page 37
1 that into account on the in our overall in our	1 Q. Because Whitestone is a family-owned company,
2 overall estimate.	2 I assume that the organization structure is kind of
3 Q. For this project, is that typically or is	3 isn't as extensive as other corporations. So below the
4 that what you did for identifying vendors for this	4 president is the vice-president. Below the
5 project?	5 vice-president is who project manager?
6 A. Yes.	6 A. It would be project manager, project
7 Q. You said you take into account your history	7 managers, project to me project manager and project
8 with a vendor. Do you have or did you have a history	8 executive is one in the same. So Phil would be
9 with vendor in 2000 Or sorry. Did you have a history	9 considered either a project executive or project manager.
10 with Yuanda in 2013?	10 Q. Okay. That big paragraph in the middle of
11 A. Yes.	11 the first page of Exhibit 4, read that to yourself down
12 Q. And what was that history?	12 to the ("Vendors work").
13 A. I believe they provided curtainwall to us on	13 A. So from Yuanda Corporation with an address at
14 a on a handful of jobs.	14 36
15 Q. And had you been satisfied with the product	15 Q. Correct.
16 and their fulfilling the purchase order in the past?	16 A blagh, blagh, blagh
17 A. Yes.	17 Q. Correct.
18 Q. Do you know if Whitestone had standard form	18 A until?
19 purchase order agreement Terms and Conditions in 2013?	19 Q. About ten lines down.
20 A. A standard form?	20 A. And "deliverable curtainwall system materials
21 Q. Yes.	21 ("vendor's work")?
22 A. I do not think we had a standard where we	22 Q. Correct.
23 used with every vendor, no.	23 (Witness peruses document.)
24 Q. If you can turn to what's been previously	24 A. Okay.

- Q. Okay. It's my understanding that that is the 2 definition of what -- of vendor's work or what Yuanda was
- 3 going to provide. Is my understanding correct,
- 4 incorrect?

1

- A. It's -- it's a list of -- of some of the --
- 6 some of the scope that Yuanda needs to provide. Is it a
- 7 definitive, exhaustive list? No.
- Q. Okay. So where is the definitive, exhaustive
- 9 list of Yuanda's scope of work?
- A. It would be in the -- in the plans and specs
- 11 in conjunction with anything listed in -- in the purchase
- 12 order.
- 13 Q. How would -- we'll get to that. We'll get to
- 14 that. Sorry. Strike that question.
- Is there any work that you wanted Yuanda to
- 16 perform that is not listed in this first paragraph above
- 17 vendor's work?
- 18 MR. CARBONE: Objection to form.
- 19 BY MR. GILL:
- 20 Q. Go ahead and answer, please.
- 21 A. Say the question again because --
- Q. Is there any --22
- 23 A. -- I'm not sure how to --
- 24 Sorry. I stepped on your answer, and I did

1 approved?

- A. Provide -- provided that they provided
- 3 everything that is approved and acceptable to the
- 4 owner/architect/construction manager.
- Q. Are you aware of whether Whitestone inspected

Page 40

Page 41

- 6 the components after or upon delivery from Yuanda?
- I'm sorry but that's kind of -- that's kind
- 8 of a vague question. Am I aware if anybody from
- 9 Whitestone specifically looked at every piece of material
- 10 regarding anything that came from Yuanda? That's --
- 11 that's difficult for me -- I can't say that.
- 12 Q. Do you know if Whitestone was required to
- 13 inspect the material upon delivery from Yuanda?
- 14 A. Well, Whitestone through its installer, yes,
- 15 probably -- we should have -- during -- during the normal
- 16 course of the contract, yes, we would -- if we noticed
- 17 something that was not proper, then we would have
- 18 notified them. If there was --
- 19 Q. Well, isn't it --
- 20 A. If there was an issue with the workmanship,
- 21 yes.

23

- 22 Q. I apologize. I stepped on your answer again.
 - Isn't it a requirement that Yuanda or
- 24 Whitestone or its subcontractor inspect Yuanda's work and

- 1 exactly what I said that we need to do to keep a clear
- 2 record, and I apologize.
- Is there anything -- anything that is not
- 4 listed in that first paragraph above vendor's work that
- 5 you expected Yuanda to provide or perform for this
- 6 project?
- 7 A. I -- I can't answer that because it really
- 8 goes into the details of the plans and specs, so I -- to
- 9 me that's a difficult question to answer if at all.
- 10 Q. Was Yuanda required to provide any on-site
- 11 services?
- 12 A. On-site services?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 Not to my knowledge.
- 15 Was Yuanda required to provide any
- 16 installation services?
- Not to my knowledge.
- 18 Q. Was Yuanda required to provide any service
- 19 after delivery of the fabricated components?
- A. Provided that everything was -- that was
- 21 provided was -- was approved, no.
- 22 And that approval came from who? Strike
- 23 that.
- 24 What do you mean provided everything that was

- 1 reject components that fail to conform to the -- the
- 2 submittals or the shop drawings?
- A. If we would have -- if we were to inspect it,
- 4 it would happen by -- if we saw something, it would
- 5 happen by happenstance. It would not be -- I would not
- 6 say it's our job to make sure they conform with --
- 7 with -- with their contract, no.
- Q. It's your job to make sure that the
- 9 clerestory conforms to your contract with Sciame though;
- 10 right?
- 11 It's -- repeat that one more time.
- 12 Q. Is it your job, Whitestone's job, to ensure
- 13 that its work, Whitestone's work, conforms to the
- 14 requirements of the subcontract between Whitestone and
- 15 Sciame?
- 16 A. I would say it's the responsibility of
- 17 whomever we hire to perform that to design and
- 18 manufacture, to make sure it conforms.
- 19 Q. That's not my question. Under your
- 20 subcontract with Sciame do you have a responsibility to
- 21 Sciame to make sure Whitestone is providing what
- 22 Whitestone agreed to provide?
- 23 A. Strictly speaking between us, between
- 24 Whitestone and Sciame, yes.

Q. And, for the record, you said that you,

- 2 Whitestone, were providing all of the exterior cladding.
- 3 This purchase order, this purchase order with Yuanda was
- 4 only a portion of Whitestone's work on this project; is
- 5 that accurate?

1

- 6 A. Yeah, correct.
- 7 Q. If you turn to the third page of Exhibit 4
- 8 which is page 1102 through 1109.
- 9 MR. CARBONE: What --
- 10 BY MR. GILL:
- 11 Q. What are --
- 12 MR. CARBONE: Adam --
- 13 MR. GILL: I can't hear you, Don.
- 14 MR. CARBONE: Oh, sorry.
- MR. GILL: Not a problem.
- MR. CARBONE: It's muted. Adam, what -- I'm
- 17 using a different Bates stamp document. What paragraph
- 18 are you on?
- 19 MR. GILL: I'm not on a paragraph. I didn't
- 20 give you the -- it's the first -- it's the purchase
- 21 agreement Terms and Conditions. I think it's --
- MR. CARBONE: Yeah, there's numbered
- 23 paragraphs, 1, 2.
- 24 MR. GILL: I'm not talking about a paragraph
- - uagrapii
 - Page 43
 - MR. CARBONE: Oh, okay.
- 3 MR. GILL: I'll let you know what paragraph
- 4 I'm talking about.
- 5 MR. CARBONE: Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 6 Sorry.

1 yet. 2

- 7 BY MR. GILL:
- 8 Q. So what are on these eight or nine pages in
- 9 Exhibit 4?
- 10 A. Seems to be the Terms and Conditions of the
- 11 purchase agreement.
- 12 Q. Okay. What does that mean Terms and
- 13 Conditions?
- 14 A. That's pretty self-explanatory. It's all --
- 15 this is all -- any terms listed in -- in this section
- 16 is -- is part of our contract with Yuanda.
- 17 Don --
- 18 Q. Okay. Were the Terms and Conditions the
- 19 subject of negotiations --
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm getting feedback. Don, can
- 21 you mute?
- 22 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. Were these Terms and Conditions the subject
- 24 of any negotiations between Yuanda and Whitestone?

- 1 A. Yes, they could have been. I'm not -- I
 - 2 don't remember specifically if -- what -- what Phil
 - 3 negotiated back and forth with Yuanda. I do not know

- 4 that.
- 5 Q. Do you know if these -- I think you said
- 6 there were no standard Terms and Conditions in 2013. So
- 7 these would have been drafted for this project; is that
- 8 accurate?
- A. Probably, yes.
- 10 Q. And you also said that you didn't have any
- 11 negotiating power with Sciame because it was a public
- 12 project?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have -- were there any negotiations
- 15 at all between Whitestone and Yuanda regarding these
- 16 Terms and Conditions because it was a public project?
- 17 A. Were there any negotiations between
- 18 Whitestone and Yuanda --
- 19 Q. Yeah.
- 20 A. -- on -- regarding these terms and -- I do
- 21 not know. I was not involved with negotiating this
- 22 purchase order, so I don't -- I don't know.
- Q. If you look at paragraph 1, on the first page
- 24 of the Terms and Conditions, the last sentence states:
 - Page 45
- 1 "No changes will be effective unless this purchase
- 2 agreement is modified in writing by an authorized
- 3 representative of subcontractor."
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. What does that language mean?
- 6 A. That any verbal agreements between Whitestone
- 7 and Yuanda are not -- are void unless it's been -- unless
- 8 it's put in writing and signed by -- by a -- by an
- 9 officer of the subcontractor.
- 10 Q. Okay. What counts or what constitutes a
- 11 change to the purchase agreement?
- 12 A. Any change in the terms of conditions.
- 13 That's the best answer I can give --
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. -- give you on that.
- 16 Q. If you look at paragraph 2, about six lines
- 17 down the sentence starts at the very end: "Vendor shall
- 18 assume towards subcontractor." Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And, for the record, the sentence states:
- 21 "Vendor shall assume towards subcontractor all
- 22 obligations and responsibilities which under contract
- 23 documents as set forth in Whitestone purchase order
- 24 Attachment B related to the curtainwall pertaining to the

Page 46 Page 48 1 prime contract the subcontractor assumes toward 1 one more time, please. 2 contractor and owner and architect/engineer." 2 BY MR. GILL: A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that Exhibit 4 has the 4 That is similar to language I pointed out in 4 phrase "under the contract documents as set forth in 5 the Sciame/Whitestone subcontract. Would you agree with 5 Whitestone purchase order Attachment B related to the 6 curtainwall" in it? That language has been added to the 7 purchase order that is not -- there's no similar 7 A. Yes. 8 O. But it's not identical. Do you agree with 8 reference in the subcontract? 9 that? MR. CARBONE: Objection. 10 A. I don't -- I'd have to -- I would have to do 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 a word-to-word comparison of each sentence next to each A. "The contract documents as set forth in the 12 Whitestone purchase order Attachment B related to 12 other to say that. 13 Q. Exhibit 5. 13 curtainwall pertaining to the prime contract." Is that 14 what you're referring to? 14 A. Okay. 15 15 BY MR. GILL: MR. CARBONE: Adam -- excuse me. I have an 16 objection only because you stopped reading the sentence 16 Q. Yes. 17 in mid sentence and then you were asking about a 17 A. You're saying -- you're asking that -- if --18 sentence. You failed to read the rest of the sentence 18 if those words are not in the Exhibit 5? 19 where it says: "And shall be bound by the rulings of Q. I'm -- I'm saying you see that those words 20 are not or a similar reference is not included in Exhibit 20 subcontractor, contractor and owner and 21 5. 21 architect/engineer including but not limited to 22 A. I don't -- I would have to read this entire 22 extensions for time -- of time." 23 MR. GILL: Okay. 23 paragraph. 24 MR. CARBONE: That's the purpose of my 24 Q. Then go ahead and read the entire paragraph. Page 47 Page 49 1 objection. 1 (Witness peruses document.) MR. GILL: Okay. 2 A. No, the contract between Whitestone and MR. CARBONE: Okay. 3 Sciame doesn't make -- doesn't mention anything --4 BY MR. GILL: 4 anything regarding a purchase order between Whitestone Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 5 -- have both 5 and Yuanda. 6 Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 in front of you -- and you look O. But it also doesn't refer to curtainwall? 7 at page 845 of Exhibit 5, at the very top paragraph, A. No. The curtainwall is part of the overall 8 2.1.1. And you see that in the purchase order the phrase 8 contract, and the contract between Whitestone and Sciame 9 "under the contract documents as set forth in Whitestone 9 refers to the entire contract. 10 purchase order Attachment B related to the curtainwall" 10 Q. And the provision in Exhibit 5 doesn't refer 11 has been added to basically what is in Exhibit 5. Do you 11 to any attachments? 12 see that? 12 A. No, it does not specify any attachments. 13 13 Q. Why does the purchase order -- why did MR. CARBONE: Objection. 14 Whitestone include that reference to the attachments in 14 BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't even know where -- where to begin 15 the purchase order? 16 comparing one to the other, so I don't know what you A. Can I -- can I refer to Attachment B? 16 17 are --17 Q. We're getting there. I just want to know why 18 MR. GILL: You're on mute. 18 that -- that language was inserted in paragraph 2 on page THE REPORTER: Excuse me. You're on mute. 19 1102. 20 You're on mute. 20 A. I have no idea. I can't answer that. 21 THE WITNESS: Donald, I think you need to --21 Q. So now, yes, please turn to page 1114 which MR. GILL: Yeah. 22 is a page titled Attachment B Contract Documents. 22 23 BY THE WITNESS: 23 A. Okay.

Do you know who created Attachment B?

24

A. Yeah, I'm not sure. I mean -- repeat that

Page 50 Page 52 A. I do not know. 1 between the owner and subcontractor are incorporated 1 2 Q. Do you know if it was Yuanda or Whitestone? 2 herein by the reference to the extent that the prime 3 3 contract applies to the work under this purchase order." A. I do not know. 4 Do you see that item 1 says: "The contract 4 That's the first --5 documents on Attachment B"? Q. Okay. The reference -- what is the purpose 6 of the reference to Attachment B then in that sentence 7 O. And do you see that in or under -- listed 7 you just read? 8 under item 1 the prime contract is not listed? A. What is the? I'm sorry? (Witness peruses document.) Q. The sentence you just read refers to 10 A. No, it's not listed in -- in Attachment B, 10 Attachment B; correct? 11 no. 11 A. "Contract documents as set forth in 12 Q. Do you see that the subcontract between 12 Whitestone -- " okay. 13 Q. Not okay. Yes or no. What you just --13 Sciame and Whitestone is not listed in Attachment B? 14 No, it doesn't -- it doesn't specify that 14 A. Yes. 15 15 either. Q. -- read refers to Attachment B? 16 Q. Do you know why the prime contract and 16 Okay. Attachment B does not refer to the 17 subcontract were not listed in Attachment B? 17 prime contract; correct? A. Why they were not listed on Attachment B? I 18 A. No. It refers to the contract documents. 19 do not know. 19 Q. It lists the contract documents; correct? It Q. Did you intend or did Whitestone intend that 20 defines the contract documents? 21 the prime contract be incorporated into the purchase 21 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 22 order? 22 BY THE WITNESS: 23 A. Yes. 23 A. Attachment B, yes. Referring to, yes, to the 24 Did you or Whitestone intend that the prime 24 sentence that I just read, yes. Page 51 Page 53 1 contract be incorporated into the purchase order? 1 2 A. Yes. 2 BY MR. GILL: Q. Do you know why the prime contract and the 3 Q. So moving on to the --4 subcontract are not specifically listed or incorporated MR. CARBONE: I was on mute. Adam, I was on 4 5 into the purchase order? 5 mute. I just want to make sure my objection was noted. 6 A. Why the -- can you say that one again? 6 I'm sorry. 7 Q. Do you know why the purchase order is not 7 MR. GILL: I could -- I could hear you and 8 incorporated into -- sorry. Strike that. 8 that's why I kept going. Do you know why the prime contract is not Madam Court Reporter, when Mr. -- even 10 incorporated into the purchase order? 10 though he's muted, when Mr. Carbone objects, can you --A. I believe --11 11 are you -- can you hear that? Are you recognizing that? 12 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 12 Are you I guess noting it? 13 13 THE REPORTER: Yes, I can hear him. Thank MR. GILL: Okay. 14 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 14 you. 15 BY MR. GILL: 15 MR. GILL: Okay. I just want to make sure --Q. Mr. Carbone objected. Please answer. 16 Don, I just want to make sure we have a clean record, and 17 A. I believe it is. 17 I'm not trying to do end run around your objections. 18 O. How? Where? 18 BY MR. GILL: 19 A. I believe I've --Q. Okay. Getting back to the purchase order, 20 20 you said that Whitestone intended that the subcontract be (Witness peruses document.) 21 On paragraph 2 of the purchase agreement 21 incorporated into the purchase order. Do you know why it 22 Terms and Conditions it says: "The contract documents as 22 was not? 23 set forth in Whitestone purchase order Attachment B 23 A. Well, I don't --24 24 related to curtainwall pertaining to the prime contract MR. CARBONE: Objection.

Page 54 Page 56 1 Conditions of the purchase order, page 1105, paragraph D, 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 there's a subparagraph that's not numbered that says A. I don't -- I don't agree with that statement 3 "Inspection and Defective Work." Do you see that? A. Yes. 4 you just made, so I mean there's tons of paperwork here. The second sentence in that subparagraph 5 If you want me to -- if you want to wait -- if everybody 6 reads: "Vendor shall promptly prepare the plan for the 6 wants to wait a few hours for me to go review it to look 7 approval of the subcontractor in order to replace or 7 for it, then so be it. 8 BY MR. GILL: 8 correct any vendor's work which contractor shall reject Q. I will represent that there is one reference 9 as failing to conform to the requirements of the purchase 10 order and/or contract documents whether rejected before 10 to the subcontract and that is the first line, actually 11 or after installation with inclusion -- sorry -- with 11 the second line of article 2 that says: "Vendor 12 exclusion of those specified in item B listed below." Do 12 acknowledges that subcontractor has entered into a 13 you know who wrote that requirement or term in this Terms 13 subcontract with F.J. Sciame Construction Company, 14 Incorporated for the specified work at the CUNY NYCCT New 14 and Conditions? 15 A. I do not know. 15 Academic Building located at," and it goes on. That's 16 the only reference to subcontract in the purchase order. 16 Q. Do you know if that was the subject of any 17 negotiations between Whitestone and Yuanda? A. Okay. Q. You can read the entire document. I have 18 A. I do not know. 18 19 Q. Okay. There's a lot in there, and I'm going 19 seven hours. I have no problem with that. 20 to break it apart so we can address it in pieces. MR. CARBONE: All right. Well, I guess we 21 21 both have seven hours if necessary, but I'm going to In your experience, how common is it to 22 replace work that was properly performed the first time? 22 object to counsel's characterization because you're going 23 A. Properly performed? 23 into legal argument. 24 MR. GILL: Fair enough. 24 Q. Yes. Page 55 Page 57 1 1 A. I don't -- extremely, extremely rare. 2 BY MR. GILL: 2 Can you recall any time you have ever asked a Q. As far as you are aware, sitting here without 3 vendor to replace work that was properly performed? 4 reading the entire document, Exhibit 4, is there a 5 Q. Would you agree that in order to "correct" 5 location in the purchase order that incorporates the 6 subcontract between Whitestone and Sciame? 6 work that work needs to have some kind of defect or 7 MR. CARBONE: Okay. I'm going to -- I'm going 7 something improper in it? 8 to object because you asked him that question previously. 8 A. Yes. 9 He told you it did. You asked him where, and he told you 9 Q. Do you agree that the sentence that I just 10 where. 10 read requires that Whitestone reject Yuanda's work? 11 MR. GILL: That was on the prime contract. 11 No, that's not my interpretation of that, no. 12 Q. Okay. For the record, the part I'm referring 12 I'm talking about the subcontract. He said he didn't 13 know where on the subcontract and if we wanted to read 13 to, it says: "In order to replace or correct any 14 the entire document, he would do that, and so I want to 14 vendor's work which subcontractor shall reject." You --15 know if he knows sitting here without reading the entire 15 is it your opinion that someone other than Whitestone has 16 document where the reference to the subcontract is not 16 the authority to reject Yuanda's work? 17 the prime contract. 17 A. Yes. 18 MR. CARBONE: Objection withdrawn. 18 Okay. How do you reach that conclusion? 19 Because it's in Whitestone's contract that 19 MR. GILL: You can still object. That's fine. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 the owner/architect/construction manager has the right to 21 A. Off the top of my head, no, I can't answer 21 reject our work and by extension any work -- the work of 22 that. 22 any subcontractors or vendors by Whitestone. 23 BY MR. GILL: 23 Q. Are you aware of anyplace in the purchase 24 Q. Okay. If you turn back to the Terms and 24 order where that same requirement is included?

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

- 1 A. Like my lawyer previously mentioned, I guess
- 2 that's a legal argument between you and him.
- Q. I'm only -- are you aware as a layman of
- 4 anyplace in that -- in the purchase order where that same
- 5 requirement is stated?
- 6 A. Off the top of my head, no.
- 7 Q. Right after the part that I just read states:
- 8 Rejection is based on "failing to conform to the
- 9 requirements of the purchase order and/or contract
- 10 documents." I'm not asking for a legal conclusion, but
- 11 do you know what that means?
- 12 A. Repeat that.
- 13 Q. The second part or actually the middle part
- 14 of that sentence states that: Rejection is quote or
- 15 based on "failing to conform to the requirements of the
- 16 purchase order and/or contract documents."
- 17 A. Yes. You're asking me what that means?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. Well, if the -- basically if -- if the work
- 20 does not conform to the -- to the Terms and Conditions of
- 21 the purchase order and/or the contract documents.
- Q. Well, how does something not conform? Does
- 23 it have to be defective?
- A. If it does not follow the contract -- the

58 Page 60

A. Let me -- can I -- give me a second to find

- 2 that sentence --
- 3 Q. Absolutely.
- 4 A. -- so I could read it.
- 5 Q. That's five and six lines up from the bottom.
- 6 A. Okay. So you're talking about: "Upon
- 7 approval of vendor's plan, vendor shall promptly replace
- 8 or correct any vendor's work. If vendor does not do so
- 9 within a reasonable time, subcontractor shall have the
- 10 right to do so and vendor shall be liable to
- 11 subcontractor for the costs thereof." Is that what
- 12 you're referring to?
- 13 Q. Exactly. I want to -- I want clarification
- 14 or your understanding of what the costs thereof refers
- 15 to. Does it refer to vendor's work or does it refer to
- 16 something else?
- 17 A. Any costs associated with -- of -- what's the
- 18 term -- remediating or fixing work originally the
- 19 responsibility of the vendor.
- Q. What is that conclusion based on?
- 21 A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, that language that you just in your
- 23 answer said, the -- any cost related to the remediation
- 24 is not included in this paragraph, so I want to know how

Page 59

- 1 plans and specs of the contract, yes, defective --
- Q. Can properly --
- 3 A. -- in that way.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. I stepped on your answer again.
- 5 Can properly performed work fail to conform
- 6 to the requirements of the purchase order and/or contract
- 7 documents?
- 8 A. I guess no. That be a contradiction.
- 9 Q. The next two sentences state: "Upon approval
- 10 of the vendor's plan by subcontractor, vendor shall
- 11 promptly replace or correct any vendor's work. If vendor
- 12 does not do so within a reasonable time, subcontractor
- 13 shall have the right to do so and vendor shall be liable
- 14 to subcontractor for the costs thereof." Do you know who
- 15 wrote that -- Whitestone or Yuanda?
- 16 A. I -- I can't tell you for sure.
- 17 Q. Okay. And, again, you don't know if that was
- 18 the subject of negotiations between Whitestone and
- 19 Yuanda?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. What does -- do you know what means --
- 22 what -- the last part of the sentence where it says:
- 23 "Vendor shall be liable to subcontractor for the costs
- 24 thereof," what does thereof refer to?

- Page 61

 1 you made that -- came to that conclusion that any cost
- 2 incurred during the remediation is the responsibility of
- 3 the vendor.
- 4 MR. CARBONE: Objection to the
- 5 characterization of the witness's testimony.
- 6 BY MR. GILL:
- 7 Q. Please answer.
- 8 A. That's just my -- my interpretation of the
- 9 English language. I don't know how else to say that.
- 10 Q. Okay. If you turn to page 1110 in Exhibit 4,
- 11 the page titled "Scope of Worksheet Attachment A." What
- 12 is Attachment A?
- 13 A. Well, I'm on page 1110 but where does --
- 14 where is --

- Q. Isn't that the top -- doesn't it say "Scope
- 16 of Worksheet Attachment A"?
- 17 A. Oh, at the very top, yeah.
 - Q. What is this Attachment A?
- 19 A. It's a list of the scope of work. A
- 20 generalization, a list of scope of work.
- Q. For whom?
- 22 A. It appears for Yuanda to provide Whitestone
- 23 Construction.
- Q. Do you know who created this chart?

1	Page 62	1	Page 64
1	A. No. Specifically, no.	1	A. I'm sorry. It was 22, 23?
2	Q. At the top of that page about four lines down it says: "Spec Section 084413, 084426 and 088000	2	Q. And 24.
	curtainwall." Do you know what that refers to?	3 4	A. Yes. O. And what are these exhibits?
5		5	
-			A. These are the technical specifications for the work that Yuanda
6 7	Q. Do you know why only those three	7	O. For the curtainwall?
	specifications are listed?	8	A. Yes, for the curtainwall. For the yes.
9	-	9	·
	A. Specifically why? No, I don't know why. Maybe those were the only specifications relative to		Q. That is at least part of Yuanda's scope of work?
	Yuanda's work. I can't I can't say yes or no	11	A. Correct. Yes.
	definitively.	12	Q. Okay. Looking just at Exhibit 22, do you see
13			the first page there is Section 1.02, Summary
	this chart lists those same three specifications and		subparagraph C?
	"related sections." Do you see that?	15	A. Yes.
16	· ·	16	Q. And C is "Related Sections Include the
17			Following," and then it lists eight different
18			specification sections?
	sections it can make references to other specification	19	A. Yes.
	sections.	20	Q. And your prior testimony and the testimony of
21	Q. How would any vendor or Yuanda specifically		Mr. Dearth was Yuanda would know their entire scope of
	know what section, specification section, related section		work by or could know their entire scope of work by
	they are responsible for?		looking at the related sections that are referenced in
24			the technical specifications that are listed in their
	Page 63		Page 65
1	sections that are specifically listed here, it would make	1	purchase order? Is that accurate or pretty close?
	a reference to another specification section.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. If you can look at we've been going for a	3	MR. CARBONE: Objection. Objection to form.
4	little more than an hour. We can take a break. I'm not	4	BY MR. GILL:
5	changing topics, but it might be a good time for a break	5	Q. Okay. Was that accurate?
6	if you need one, Don or Mr. Grzic.	6	A. Yes.
7	THE WITNESS: If you want, I'm fine. I can	7	Q. Okay. Looking at that 1.02 sub C, Yuanda
8	use a bathroom break.	8	wasn't responsible for the sustainable design
9	MR. GILL: Okay. That's fine. So about a	9	requirements; is that true?
10	five-minute break.	10	A. Where is that? I'm sorry. Where's
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:23.	11	Q. 1.02 C 1.
12	(WHEREUPON, a break was	12	A. Okay. I sustainable design requirements.
13	taken.)	13	I cannot say yes or no. I don't know.
14	We're back on the record at 10:33.	14	Q. Okay.
15	BY MR. GILL:	15	A. I can't I can't
16	Q. Okay. If you can take a look at Exhibits 22,	16	Q. Was Yuanda responsible for thermal
17	23 and 24.	17	insulation?
18	For the record, Exhibit 22 is a specification	18	A. I again, I can't tell I can't say
19	Section 084413, Glazed Aluminum Curtainwalls. Exhibit 23	19	definitively yes or no they were not responsible for it.
20	is Section 084426, Structural Glass Curtainwalls, and	20	Q. Was Yuanda responsible for hollow clay tile
21	Exhibit 24 is Specification Section 088000, Glazing.	21	cladding systems?
22	Do you recognize Exhibits 22, 23 and 24?	22	A. Not, not that I know. Not that I I can't
44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l l
23	A. Hold on one second.	23 24	say definitively yes or no. Q. How would anyone know definitively yes or no

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

- 1 by looking at the purchase order what work Yuanda was
- 2 responsible for?
- 3 A. Well, it would -- the purchase order said --
- 4 referred this spec Section 084413, and this spec section
- 5 refers to other -- to other spec sections, and if you go
- 6 through the actual technical specifications, it can
- 7 make -- it can refer to information that's listed -- it
- 8 can refer to information that's required to perform or
- 9 complete 084413. It can require information that's
- 10 listed in these other spec sections that you -- that you
- 11 just referred to. So I -- I can't specifically say what
- 12 it is, what it refers to, but that's why -- that's why
- 13 paragraph 102 C exists.
- 14 Q. I understand. How would someone know what
- 15 Yuanda's actual scope of work is by looking at the
- 16 purchase order in the specifications? Would they have to
- 17 read the entire project manual?
- 18 A. No. They would have to read Section -- when
- 19 it comes to any work covered under Section 084413, they
- 20 would have to read the entire spec section and see if any
- 21 references to any information is -- is made to any of the
- 22 spec sections referred to in Section 1.02 C.
- Q. Looking back at Attachment A to the purchase
- 24 order, Exhibit 4, look at line item 6. This references

Page 68

- 1 A. System design per Yuanda proposal drawings,
- 2 yes.
- 3 Q. So was -- as far as you know, was Yuanda's
- 4 design of the system based on specific criteria?
- 5 A. Yuanda's system was -- is supposed to be
- 6 based upon the plans and specifications, the requirements
- 7 listed in the plans and specifications.
- 8 Q. Are there -- do the plans and specifications
- 9 include criteria that Yuanda was to use in forming its
- 10 design?
- 11 A. Yes. It should, yes.
- 12 Q. It should but did it?
- 13 A. I -- I -- I never referred to any -- I never
- 14 reviewed any of the plans and specifications to know.
- Q. Do you know if Whitestone provided Yuandawith the -- Strike that.
- Who provided Yuanda with the drawings and
- 18 specifications?
- 19 A. That would have been my project manager.
- 20 Probably -- most likely Phil Carvelas.
- Q. Do you know if someone from Whitestone
- 22 provided Yuanda with anything other than the drawings and
- 23 specifications that relate to design criteria?
- 24 A. I wouldn't -- I can't say definitively. I

Page 69

- 1 Yuanda's proposal drawings. Are you -- do you recall
- 2 whether Yuanda provided proposal drawings to Whitestone?
- 3 A. Specifically I do not -- I'm not aware.
- 4 Q. You see in the right hand column -- it
- 5 appears to me that there's a typo on one of the dates
- 6 because the third date down is November 25th, 2013, but
- 7 this purchase order is dated October 24th, 2013. Should
- 8 that refer to November 25th, 2012?
- 9 A. I -- I do not know. I can't say that. Not 10 necessarily.
- 11 Q. Line item 9 says that Yuanda's scope of work
- 12 included system design engineering. Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you know what that means specifically?
- 15 A. Specifically coming up with the -- the
- 16 working -- the drawings and the design of the system
- 17 along with all the calculations, the support that
- 18 everything -- everything -- everything confers to the --
- 19 what's -- sorry. I'm having a brain freeze. And to
- 20 confirm with the calculations that everything conforms to
- 21 the plans and specifications.
- Q. Okay. And, again, turn the page to WCC1111,
- 23 line item 38. Again refers to proposal drawings but also
- 24 system design. Do you see that?

1 have no idea.

- Q. I want to talk about the term deflection for
- 3 a minute. It's my understanding in my discussion with
- 4 Mr. Dearth -- I believe he agrees -- there are two kinds
- 5 of deflection. There's deflection within a building
- 6 component. In this case, the curtainwall system. The
- 7 individual members deflect; is that accurate?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then there's also overall building
- 10 movement; is that accurate?
- 11 A. Okay. Yes.
- 12 Q. Well, do you agree with that or disagree with
- 13 that?
- 14 A. Yeah. It depends -- I guess you can always
- 15 break it down even further, but I would agree with those,
- 16 yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay. If you look back to Exhibit 22, the
- 18 Glazed Aluminum Curtainwall Specification.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. Turn to Page 2 which is WCC11789. There is a
- 21 Section 1.03 Performance Requirements A 3. Do you see
- 22 that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- $\,$ Q. $\,$ Actually, before we look at A 3, turn to the

- 1 next page. It would be a numbered subparagraph under 1.3
- 2 D, Deflection of Framing Members. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. Generally speaking --
- 5 MR. CARBONE: I don't see it. Adam, could you
- 6 just get me where you were?
- 7 MR. GILL: Yeah. Page number 11790.
- 8 MR. CARBONE: D, Deflection?
- 9 MR. GILL: D, as in dog.
- 10 MR. CARBONE: Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. GILL:
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with, generally familiar
- 13 with the format of this specification?
- 14 A. I'm sorry. That's a very vague question.
- 15 Q. Sorry. You're muted.
- 16 A. Sorry. That's a very vague question. What
- 17 do you mean familiar with?
- 18 Q. Well, typically construction projects use
- 19 Master Spec or some other specification writing program;
- 21 A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And so this is a standard specification that

Page 71

- 1 is edited by the architect for a specific project;
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yeah, I can't answer whether this architect
- 4 used a standard format then just edited it or started
- 5 this from scratch. I -- I can't say that. I don't know.
- 6 Q. But the format is pretty standard. Like part
- 7 1 is General. Part 2 is Execution. Part 3 is
- 8 Performance or something like that?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes. In that --
- 10 Q. So are you familiar --
- 11 A. -- sense, yes.
- 12 Q. Sorry. I'm talking over your answer.
- Are you familiar with the requirement in a
- 14 curtainwall specification of deflection of framing
- 15 members generally speaking?
- 16 A. Yes, generally speaking.
- 17 Q. So on Page 11790, paragraph D what is
- 18 generally listed? I don't want specifics, but what is
- 19 your understanding of what's generally listed in that
- 20 paragraph?
- A. The deflections of different members of the
- 22 building.
- Q. Well, this relates -- does it relate to the
- 24 building or the maximum allowable deflection of the

1 curtainwall components?

- A. Well, if it's in this spec section, it would
- 3 be referring to any -- any deflection referring to the
- 4 member of -- any -- sorry. If it's in this
- 5 specification, it would refer to any deflection of the
- 6 components of this -- of the glazed aluminum curtainwall.
- 7 Q. Okay. If you now turn back to page 11789,
- 8 1.03 A sub 3 and that --
- A. So 103 B 3?
- 10 Q. A 3. 103 A 3.
- 11 A. 103 A 3. Okay.
- 12 Q. And that states: "Movements of supporting
- 13 structure indicated on drawings including but not limited
- 14 to story drift, twist, column shortening, long-term creep
- 15 and deflection from uniformly distributed and
- 16 concentrated live loads." Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know what that means?
- 19 A. Yes, that they're supposed to -- when
- 20 designing the system, they're supposed to just take into
- 21 account movements of supporting structure indicated on
- 22 the drawings included but not limited to story drift,
- 23 twist, column shortening, long-term creep and deflection
- 24 from uniformly distributed and concentrated live loads.
 - Page 73

- Q. Are you aware of anywhere in any of the
- 2 specifications where the architect gives a maximum amount
- 3 of building movement, deflection or deformation?
- 4 A. Can you say that one more time?
- 5 Q. Related to the specification only are --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- you aware of any place in the
- 8 specification, any specification, where the architect or
- 9 engineer of record provides an amount, a maximum amount
- 10 for building movement, deflection or deformation?
- 11 A. In the specifications or in the contract
- 12 documents?
- 13 Q. Specifications.
- 14 A. In the -- not that I am aware of in the
- 15 specifications, no.
- 16 Q. In the architectural or structural drawings
- 17 are you aware of the location where the architect gives,
- 18 architect or engineer of record gives an amount for
- 19 building movement, deflection or deformation for the
- 20 building?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Where?
- 23 A. I don't know off the top of my head. I
- 24 believe it's one of the structural -- structural drawings

Page 74 Page 76 1 where it does list a deflection. I don't -- off the top 1 components. Is that an accurate understanding of this? 2 2 of my head I do not know -- remember which drawing. A. Yes, they're ask -- yes. Q. And so it's my understanding also that Sciame Q. Is it -- I will represent -- I wasn't going 4 to show you today -- there is a drawing called S003. 4 is not questioning whether Whitestone installed the WT-3 5 Does that ring a bell? 5 properly; is that an accurate --A. I believe that that could be the -- the A. They -- they do not mention any of that. 7 drawing that --7 They do not mention that. 8 MR. CARBONE: Adam --Q. And, likewise, Sciame doesn't mention that 9 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 9 it's questioning the design of the WT-3; is that 10 MR. CARBONE: Hold on. Adam, I have a copy of 10 accurate? 11 that drawing if you'd like to provide it to the witness. 11 A. It's -- repeat that question, please. MR. GILL: No, maybe not because I --12 Q. Also Sciame doesn't indicate whether it's 13 depending on my follow-up. 13 questioning the design of the WT-3 clerestory? 14 BY MR. GILL: 14 A. Can I have a chance to read it again? 15 Q. Are you aware of whether the maximum Absolutely. 16 deflection is listed in more than one place in the 16 (Witness peruses document.) 17 architectural or structural drawings? 17 In a way it is inferring that it is 18 A. I am not aware. 18 questioning the design in my opinion. 19 Q. If it was questioning the design, wouldn't Q. Okay. 20 MR. GILL: No, Don, thank you, I don't need 20 Sciame be sending you or Whitestone the RFI or a question 21 that document. 21 or a direction for Whitestone or Yuanda to explain its 22 BY MR. GILL: 22 design? Q. You can put the specifications to the side. 23 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 24 And if you can look at the document that was previously 24 Page 75 Page 77 1 marked as Exhibit 6. 1 BY THE WITNESS: A. Okay. A. Not necessarily. Q. Do you recognize this document? 3 BY MR. GILL: A. This is an RFI. Q. Do you recall the first time you saw -- or 5 O. And, for the record, what is an RFI? 5 what was the first time you recall seeing the response to A. RFI stands for request for information. This 6 this RFI? 7 seems to be an answer from the architect to an RFI. A. Do I remember when I first -- okay. Ask the Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you saw 8 question. I'm sorry. 9 the RFI from Sciame? Q. Sorry. That was a bad question. I changed A. Vividly, no. Like when, no. I mean I 10 in mid sentence. 11 remember seeing this before, but I -- when or where I 11 When is the first time you recall seeing the 12 don't -- I can't answer that. 12 response? Q. The reason I'm asking is you said generally A. I don't remember. I would have to --13 13 14 you're not involved in the day-to-day operations but at 14 within -- if I had to guess, it would be within two, 15 some point this project had a lot of questions or 15 three months of this, of the date of this RFI. 16 problems or concerns, I don't remember your exact words, 16 Q. Do you agree -- Strike that. 17 and so you became -- you came to be copied on a lot of 17 Are you aware that the architect or its 18 e-mails. Do you know if it was about this time or 18 consultant is claiming that the maximum roof deflection 19 sometime after January 2017? 19 at the WT-3 clerestory is 3.4 inches? 20 A. It was about this time, give or take months I 20 A. Yes, I am aware of that. 21 would --21 Q. Do you agree with that? 22 Q. It's my understanding from this RFI, the 22 A. I'm -- I am not the designer of the building. 23 original RFI not the response, that Sciame is asking the 23 Only the designer of the building would be able to answer

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

24 that. I did not design the building nor am I qualified

24 architect to define the relative movement of the building

- 1 to calculate that movement, so . . .
- 2 Q. Did you or Whitestone do anything to verify
- 3 the movement would be 3.4 inches?
- 4 A. I guess my previous answer still applies.
- 5 It's not Whitestone's -- Whitestone does not have the
- 6 authority or the -- or the -- Whitestone does not have
- 7 the authority or the qualifications to -- to do that.
- 8 We're not the designers of the building. Only the
- 9 designers of the building who can take into account the
- 10 entire building design are able to do that.
- 11 Q. So from your answer, I take it that
- 12 Whitestone did nothing to confirm whether the architect
- 13 is correct or the consultant is correct?
- 14 A. It's -- it's not our place. We would have no
- 15 standing to -- to question that.
- 16 Q. I'm not asking if you had standing. I'm
- 17 asking if you did anything to confirm it.
- 18 A. That -- I -- not that I'm aware of because we
- 19 would have no standing. We are not -- we are not the
- 20 ones who signed off on the -- on the building design.
- Q. Whitestone -- does Whitestone agree or
- 22 disagree with Sciame that the WT-3 does not accommodate
- 23 that kind of movement as originally installed?
- 24 A. Does Whitestone -- say that one more time,

1 Q. Are you familiar with the shop drawings for

Page 80

Page 81

- 2 the WT-3 curtainwall system?
- 3 A. Not intimately but I have seen them.
- 4 Q. Did you or anyone look at them when
- 5 Whitestone provided them, at the original time that
- 6 Whitestone provided them, either the initial submission
- 7 or the revised submission?
- 8 A. At what -- say that one more time, please.
- Q. Did you or anyone at Whitestone look at or
- 10 review Yuanda's original submission and revised
- 11 submission for the shop drawings?
- 12 A. I cannot say. I know I did not. At the time
- 13 of submission and resubmission I know I did not. Can I
- 14 say --
- 15 Q. Did you look -- sorry.
- 16 A. I cannot -- I cannot say if anybody else did
- 17 or did not from Whitestone.
- 18 Q. Have you personally ever looked at the
- 19 original submission or the revised submission at any
- 20 time?
- A. When this issue did come up, then that's when
- 22 I reviewed it.
- Q. Okay. Why did you look at the shop drawings?
- A. I just wanted to get the information for

Page 79

1 please.

- Q. Does Whitestone agree or disagree with Sciame
- 3 that the WT-3 clerestory as originally installed does not
- 4 accommodate sufficient building movement?
- 5 A. If the architect of record states that
- 6 the -- that the building movement or deflection is the
- 7 three -- three inches -- I'm sorry. What was it -- three
- 8 inches -- the three plus inches, if they state that is
- 9 the movement criteria, then no, the system does not
- 10 con -- does not take into account that -- that movement,
- 11 no.
- 12 Q. How do you know it doesn't take into account
- 13 that movement?
- 14 A. I've seen the original shop drawings and the
- 15 calculations which take -- which take into account the
- 16 original -- which take into account a different movement
- 17 criteria.
- 18 Q. And Whitestone is accepting as accurate the
- 19 3.4 inches of movement?
- 20 A. Like I said, I -- we -- we cannot dispute
- 21 that. We have no -- we have no standing to dispute that.
- 22 Whether that's -- whether that's physically true or not,
- 23 it's not for us to -- to question, so I -- so it's
- 24 pointless for us to dispute that.

1 myself. I wanted to examine the information and

- 2 situation.
- 3 Q. What information did you want to get?
- 4 A. To see what was on the shop drawings.
- 5 Q. Okay. If you could look at Exhibits 25 and 6 26.
- 7 And, for the record, these are incomplete or
- 8 portions of the documents that they -- of the entire
- 9 document. Twenty-five is the original submission as
- 10 returned by the architect dated October 15th, 2014.
- 11 Exhibit 26 is the architect's review and return of the
- 12 revised drawings dated February 23rd, 2015. Both
- 13 exhibits are the transmittal page from Perkins Eastman,
- 14 et al., Limited. I believe it's the first five pages of
- 15 the submission, and then it is the documents, the four
- 16 pages I believe that are referred in RFI 1130, Exhibit 6.
- 17 Those are sheet numbers D328, D329, D331 and Y52.
- Do you recognize Exhibits 25 and 26?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you or someone at Whitestone -- well, I
- 21 know -- I think based on your prior answer you didn't
- 22 look at these when these were returned from Perkins
- 23 Eastman; is that accurate?
- A. At that time, no.

Page 82	Page 84
1 Q. Do you know if anyone at Whitestone looked at	1 Q. No, they're not
2 these when they were returned from Perkins Eastman?	2 MR. CARBONE: The numbers skip.
3 A. Not that I can say definitively, no.	3 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Okay.
4 Q. As a does Whitestone have a standard	4 BY MR. GILL:
5 practice or procedure what it does with shop drawings	5 Q. Are you there?
6 that are returned from an architect when Whitestone has a	6 A. Yes.
7 vendor who has prepared those shop drawings?	7 Q. Do you know what's depicted on this sheet?
8 A. Then we would submit it we would submit it	8 A. Seems to be the clerestory, WT-3.
9 back to the vendor to take any appropriate actions as	9 Q. WT-3?
10 listed on on the shop drawings.	10 A. Yes, WT-3.
11 Q. Would the as the person or party with	11 Q. And do you have an understanding of who made
12 responsibility, does Whitestone review the comments	12 those notes that are kind of red or reddish-brown?
13 itself or does it have a policy to review the comments?	13 A. It seems that this is from the owner's
14 A. Depending on the actual shop drawing and what	14 consultant. I'm not sure if it's the architect or their
15 it's about, we may or may not review the we may or may	15 curtainwall consultant. I'm not sure.
16 not review the comments from the shop drawings prior to	16 Q. Okay. And I want you to keep that open,
17 or submit prior to sending it back to the vendor.	17 Exhibit 25 open, and open Exhibit 26 to the same drawing
18 Q. What is the how do you determine whether	18 sheet which is YUANDA439.
19 or not to look at the shop drawings prior to returning	19 A. Okay.
20 them to the vendor?	Q. And you see that the notes at that were
21 A. It would be up to the project manager to make	21 included for the elevation have been removed in the later
22 that decision.	22 draft in Exhibit 26?
23 Q. If you look at Exhibit 25, sheet number	23 A. Yes.
24 YUANDA083, do you know what this sheet represents or what	Q. Do you know why those notes were removed?
Page 83	Page 85
Page 83 1 is depicted on this drawing sheet?	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet?2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet?	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission.
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. 	 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the in the following submission. Q. And in your experience, that the note was
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 	 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the in the following submission. Q. And in your experience, that the note was removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. MR. CARBONE: And what number were you Bates stamp number? 	 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the in the following submission. Q. And in your experience, that the note was removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda addressed it?
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. MR. CARBONE: And what number were you Bates stamp number? MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 	 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the in the following submission. Q. And in your experience, that the note was removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda addressed it? A. Yes. Most likely, yes.
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. MR. CARBONE: And what number were you Bates stamp number? MR. GILL: YUANDA083. MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits.
 is depicted on this drawing sheet? MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger sheets? MR. GILL: Correct. MR. CARBONE: And what number were you Bates stamp number? MR. GILL: YUANDA083. MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? MR. GILL: Correct. On the original submission, Exhibit 25. 	 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the in the following submission. Q. And in your experience, that the note was removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda addressed it? A. Yes. Most likely, yes. Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page in both exhibits. And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS:	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594.
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at?	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594?
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL:	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep.
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay.
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission,
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says:
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"?
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right?
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner. 19 BY MR. GILL:	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right? 19 Q. Correct.
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner. 19 BY MR. GILL: 20 Q. Yeah, below the title block.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right? 19 Q. Correct. 20 A. Yes.
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner. 19 BY MR. GILL: 20 Q. Yeah, below the title block. 21 A. Okay. Yuanda what was it?	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right? 19 Q. Correct. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know what that refers to?
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner. 19 BY MR. GILL: 20 Q. Yeah, below the title block. 21 A. Okay. Yuanda what was it? 22 Q. 083.	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right? 19 Q. Correct. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know what that refers to? 22 A. "Item of this condition shows glass sitting
1 is depicted on this drawing sheet? 2 MR. CARBONE: You're talking about the larger 3 sheets? 4 MR. GILL: Correct. 5 MR. CARBONE: And what number were you 6 Bates stamp number? 7 MR. GILL: YUANDA083. 8 MR. CARBONE: You're on number 25? 9 MR. GILL: Correct. On the original 10 submission, Exhibit 25. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. What drawing are you looking at? 13 BY MR. GILL: 14 Q. It's the drawing is Bates stamp YUANDA083. 15 It is drawing sheet Y52. 16 A. I don't see I don't see any stamp, date 17 stamps on here, these drawings. 18 MR. CARBONE: In the lower right-hand corner. 19 BY MR. GILL: 20 Q. Yeah, below the title block. 21 A. Okay. Yuanda what was it?	1 A. Pretty much safe to assume that they're 2 the original comments were addressed by Yuanda in the 3 in the following submission. 4 Q. And in your experience, that the note was 5 removed because the architect was satisfied by how Yuanda 6 addressed it? 7 A. Yes. Most likely, yes. 8 Q. Okay. And if you can look to the last page 9 in both exhibits. 10 And, for the record, that's Exhibit 25 is 11 YUANDA238, and Exhibit 26 is YUANDA594. 12 A. 238 and 594? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. In Exhibit 25, the earlier submission, 16 the original submission, do you see the note that says: 17 "See deflections of roof beam"? 18 A. On the Exhibit 25 you said; right? 19 Q. Correct. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know what that refers to?

Page 86 Page 88 1 roof beam." And your question was? 1 Yuanda regarding these notes in Exhibit 25? 2 Q. Do you know what "see deflections of roof Prior to the installation? 3 beam" refers to or means? 3 Yes. Probably the -- how much the -- how much 4 A. No. 5 the -- the roof beam de -- bends and deflects. 5 Q. Prior to the installation of the WT-3 Q. When Whitestone received this back from 6 clerestory did you have a conversation with anyone at 7 Yuanda regarding this note about the roof beam 7 Sciame and after it sent it to Yuanda, do you know if 8 Whitestone did anything to -- as a follow-up to that 8 deflection? 9 comment? A. No. 10 A. Did anything regarding? 10 Q. Comparing that drawing sheet, YUANDA238 in 11 Q. Seeing the deflection of the roof beam. 11 Exhibit 25, and the same sheet in Exhibit 26, YUANDA594 12 A. I don't know if Whitestone did anything in 12 the later submission 594 contains comments but that 13 reference to that comment. I can't -- I do not know. 13 specific comment has been removed. Do you see that? Q. Do you know if Whitestone provided additional 14 A. Can I take a second to read the comments? 15 Q. Absolutely. Like I said, I want the best 15 information to Yuanda regarding the roof beam deflection? 16 A. At that time I do not know. I'm not -- I 16 answer you can give. 17 can't say. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. At that time -- what do you mean at that 18 (Witness peruses document.) 19 time? At the time -- you're unsure at the time or you're 19 Yes. In the 594, it does not mention 20 not sure now if anything happened at the time? 20 anything -- there are no comments from the design team A. I am not -- I do not know now if anything 21 regarding any -- any movements. 22 happened at that time. 22 Q. Okay. Well, there are comments about Q. Okay. Do you know if Whitestone did anything 23 movement. 24 at any time regarding investigating the roof beam 24 A. Well, it --Page 87 Page 89 1 deflection? 1 Q. I'll get to that. When we received our de -- our rejection from 2 Yeah, I'm sorry. 3 Sciame based on the architect's and their engineer's 3 There are no comments about roof beam 4 comments, that's when we -- that's when we gave that 4 deflection? 5 information to Yuanda. 5 (Witness peruses document.) O. Gave what information? 6 A. I guess if you -- there could be an inference 7 A. About that the -- that the deflection that 7 from the comment "what happens when the roof lowers." In 8 my opinion, it would be -- that's -- they are referencing 8 was originally taken into account was not correct. Q. Is there anywhere you are aware of in the 9 the roof beam in my opinion. They don't specifically use 10 architectural drawings and structural drawings or the 10 the term roof beam, but that's what that comment infers 11 specifications where the roof beam deflection is stated 11 in my opinion. 12 as a number? 12 Q. Okay. Because they changed the -- the 13 A. That specific roof beam? 13 architect changed the language or the -- they went from a 14 14 statement of see deflection to asking a question, do you 15 No, I'm not aware of anywhere in the specs or 15 have any, based on your experience, opinion or have any 16 the plans that specifically say that specific roof beam 16 idea why they did that or what that means? 17 has a specific deflection, no. 17 MR. CARBONE: Objection. Q. Do you know of any way or any place in the 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 contract documents that Whitestone or Yuanda could have 19 A. Say that one more time, please. 20 determined the roof beam deflection before it received 20 BY MR. GILL: 21 the RFI response? 21 Q. Based on your experience, do you have any 22 22 opinion about what it means that the architect went from I am not aware of, no.

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

23 a statement saying see deflections to asking the

24 question?

Q. Prior to the installation of the WT-3

24 clerestory did you have any conversation with anyone at

Page 90 Page 92 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 1 the -- you still referring to the drawing? 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: Q. I'm still referring to the drawing on page 3 594 in Exhibit 26. A. My personal opinion would be that's a, what 4 we call in the industry a cover my ass comment which A. "Is the movement supposed to be accommodated 5 means they're not asking -- they're not saying anything 5 in the glazing pocket"? Are you asking me if I 6 specific but they're saying something just in case 6 technically know the answer to that? 7 something goes wrong. They could go back and say see, I O. Yes. 8 told you so, but in reality, it's -- it's a worthless A. No, I -- I don't know the technical answer to 9 that. 9 comment. 10 BY MR. GILL: 10 Q. Did anyone, Sciame or the architect or the 11 Q. The second one is a worthless comment? 11 architect's consultant, require an answer to that 12 A. That's -- that's from me dealing -- yes, 12 question before installation? 13 that's --13 A. I -- I can't say. I -- I would not know. 14 Q. They didn't -- you don't know if they 14 Q. I just want a clarification. You said it's a 15 required an answer from Whitestone before Whitestone 15 worthless comment. I just want to make sure that I 16 understand. You're referring to the second comment not 16 installed or Whitestone's vendor installed the 17 the first comment? 17 curtainwall? 18 A. The second comment being which one? 18 A. I -- that's -- can you repeat the question 19 Q. The question. 19 one more time? 20 A. Okay. We have to -- I'm sorry. We have to Q. Okay. Do you know if Sciame, Perkins Eastman 20 21 start from the beginning now. I'm -- I'm --21 or Perkins Eastman's consultant required Whitestone to Q. Okay. Your statement was it's kind of a 22 provide an answer to that question before Whitestone or 23 worthless comment, and I just want to make sure that I am 23 its installer installed the WT-3 clerestory? 24 looking at the same thing you are. The worthless comment 24 A. I would say no because the -- the submittal Page 93 1 is the question what happens -- when the roof lowers is 1 is -- is marked as made corrections noted, so I would --2 kind of --2 well, it's -- I would say no. It says made --A. Yes. 3 submittal's marked mark corrections noted, so I would say -- a CYA --4 that's not required for them to know beforehand. 5 A. Yes. O. And that is not a correction noted. That's a O. -- worthless --6 question: is that accurate? 7 A. It's a pointless -- pointless comment. A. Yes. Q. The next one I think is a noted correction 8 Q. I just want to make sure that we're looking 9 at the same thing. 9 and states: "Provide counts showing this is adequate 10 with 50 percent sealant with limit." 10 A. I guess pointless would be a better word than 11 worthless, but it's a cover your -- cover-my-ass comment. 11 A. Okay. Q. Yep. In your experience, would a consultant 12 Q. As part of the purchase order or as part of 13 remove the note of see deflections of roof beam if Yuanda 13 the requirements of the purchase order, was Yuanda 14 did not properly address the roof beam deflection? 14 required to provide engineer stamped calculations? 15 MR. CARBONE: Objection. 15 A. Yes. I believe so, yes. 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 Q. As far as you know, did Yuanda provide A. I -- I can't get into the head of -- of a 17 engineer stamped calculations? 18 consultant. I can't answer that. 18 A. Yes. As far as I know, they did, yes. 19 BY MR. GILL: 19 Q. As far as you know, did the architect or the Q. Looking at the note just in Exhibit 26, the 20 architect's consultant approve that -- those submittal of 21 first comment is: "Is the movement supposed to be 21 the engineer stamped calculations? 22 accommodated in the glazing pocket." Do you know the 22 A. As far as I know, yes. 23 answer to that question? 23 Q. As we sit here today, can you recall anyplace

24 where the architect or the architect's consultant

A. You're referring to the drawing or to

- 1 provided notes or comments where they state the roof beam
- 2 or the roof or the building deflection will be up to 3.4
- 3 inches?
- 4 A. Am I aware of anyplace on the contract
- 5 documents or specifications if they -- if they specified
- 6 that -- that roof movement of 3 point something inches?
- O. Correct.
- 8 A. I'm not aware of any right now.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of anywhere after they issued
- 10 the contract documents but before they issued the RFI
- 11 where the architect or the architect's consultant advised
- 12 Whitestone or Yuanda or Sciame that the roof beam or the
- 13 building movement would be up to 3.4 inches?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. If you can turn to Exhibit previously marked
- 16 number 7 which is Whitestone Proposed Change Order Number
- 17 98.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you recall if you saw this document before
- 20 it was submitted to Sciame?
- 21 A. Before submitted to Sciame, I don't recall.
- 22 Q. If you did see this, would you have made
- 23 comments or asked for corrections to it?
- A. Probably not.

- Page 95
- 1 Q. Have you since read the description that's on
- 2 the fourth page of this, 794?
- 3 A. I'm somewhat familiar with this -- this --
- 4 these comments.
- 5 Q. As of today, do you agree or disagree with
- 6 this change order narrative as it's stated in Exhibit 7?
- 7 A. I agree.
- 8 Q. Is there anything you disagree with or is
- 9 there anything you recall you disagree with?
- 10 A. No, not that I am aware of at this point, no.
- 11 Q. If you can turn to the exhibit that was
- 12 previously marked Exhibit 8.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. The first page?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What was your reaction when you received this
- 21 e-mail?
- 22 A. I don't remember really. This was -- I
- 23 really do not remember. It's close to two years ago.
- Q. Do you have -- does Whitestone have any

- Page 96
 1 internal communication between you, Mr. Carvelas and Mr.
- 2 Dearth regarding this e-mail May 3rd, 2019 from Michael
- 3 Pardee?
- 4 A. There's any -- anything written? I do -- not
- 5 that I specifically -- excuse me. If there's anything,
- 6 written communication, I'm -- I don't specifically
- 7 remember. I'm not aware of. It's possible. I know we
- 8 did talk about it verbally.
- Q. Okay. Did you take any notes of those verbal
- 10 conversations?
- 11 A. No, I did not take any notes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Mr. Dearth testified -- this is my
- 13 recollection of his testimony -- was that the first
- 14 notice from Sciame about rejection -- that this e-mail
- 15 was the first notice about the rejection of the proposed
- 16 change order, but he testified at some time prior to
- 17 May 3rd Sciame directed Whitestone to perform remedial
- 18 repairs. Is -- is that an accurate reflection of the
- 19 time line as far as you recall?
- 20 A. I can't -- I do not know. I can't say yes or
- 21 no.
- Q. Do you recall the first time Sciame asked
- 23 Whitestone to perform remedial repairs for the WT-3
- 24 clerestory?

- A. Specifically, no. I know they asked us to.
- 2 I don't specifically remember the conversation or the --
- 3 or the time frame or anything like that.
- 4 Q. Do you know if it was before this May 3rd
- 5 e-mail in Exhibit 8?
- 6 A. We were told that -- that it -- the work was
- 7 rejected prior to this e-mail, and then at that point I
- 8 believe we did submit a change order to do the work, and
- 9 then that's when they came back to us with this e-mail
- 10 saying it's rejected, go do the work anyway.
- 11 Q. I understand. Between the time that you
- 12 submitted the change order and this e-mail did Sciame
- 13 tell you to just do the work?
- 14 A. Not that I -- not that I remember.
- 15 Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 10, previously
- 16 marked Exhibit 10.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Do you recall --
- 19 MR. CARBONE: Can you just tell me -- Adam,
- 20 could you just tell me what Exhibit 10 is? I don't have
- 21 that.
- MR. GILL: Exhibit 10 is the description of
- 23 dispute dated May 6, 2019.
- 24 MR. CARBONE: Thank you.

Page 98 Page 100 1 BY MR. GILL: 1 clerestory structural components." 2 Q. Do you recall whether you saw this document A. Yes. 3 before it was submitted to Sciame? 3 What is the basis for that statement? A. I could have. I could have not. 4 The rejection that we received from Sciame to Q. Do you recall whether you helped Mr. Carvelas 5 our --Q. Sorry. The rejection of the purchase or the 6 draft this document? 6 A. No. I most -- I -- I don't believe I did 7 proposed change order? 8 help him draft this document, no. A. "Informing to notify you Sciame has rejected Q. Have you -- are you aware of what's in this 9 as non-conforming -- "well, technically, no. We're 10 document, the contents of what Mr. Carvelas said? 10 referring not to the rejection of the change order, to 11 A. Generally speaking, yes. 11 the rejection of the system --12 Q. Is there anything in this document as we sit 12 Q. Okay. 13 here today that you disagree with? 13 -- technically. That's what that line says. A. I do not think so. 14 When did Sciame reject the system? 15 15 Q. If you look at the second page of this A. I do not know. 16 exhibit, YUANDA33307, and continuing onto 33308. 16 Q. Is there a document that Whitestone or you 17 17 received that Sciame says we are rejecting the system? 18 Q. Do you see at the bottom of 33307 there is 18 A. I -- I'm not aware of any specific document. 19 what Mr. Carvelas says is the excerpts of Yuanda's 19 Q. So how did you come to the conclusion that 20 commentary? 20 Sciame is rejecting the system that Yuanda provided? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. I'm sure they provided us something, but I'm 22 Q. Do you recall reading the Yuanda commentary? 22 just saying my -- my answer's I'm not aware of the 23 A. Specifically, no. 23 specific -- I am not aware of this specific document. 24 Okay. Do you recall at any time disagreeing 24 Q. Did Sciame reject the design of the system? Page 101 Page 99 1 with what Yuanda said and Mr. Carvelas put in this A. Sciame rejected the system which includes the 2 Exhibit 10? 2 design of the system. 3 Q. Well, the system has many phases, one of A. No. Q. If you can turn to what's been previously 4 which is design. Do you agree? 4 5 marked as Exhibit 11. Oh, sorry. 12, Exhibit 12. 5 A. Yes. Yes. 6 And, for the record, that is a letter from 6 Q. There's also fabrication. Do you agree? 7 Whitestone to Yuanda dated June 24th, 2019. 7 A. Yes. MR. CARBONE: Thank you. 8 Did Sciame reject any component of the system 8 9 BY THE WITNESS: 9 because it was not properly fabricated? A. Okay. 10 A. My -- my understanding of the issue is it was 10 11 BY MR. GILL: 11 rejected because of the original design criteria used by Q. And if you look at the second page, is that 12 Yuanda to take into account the design of the system. 13 your signature? 13 Q. Is there a communication from Sciame where 14 A. Yes, it is. 14 they state what you just said? 15 Do you recognize this letter? A. Again, I'm not familiar -- I'm not exactly --16 I can't refer to a specific document where they say that 17 Q. Who wrote this letter? 17 that I'm aware of. 18 A. I do -- I do not remember. 18 Q. On June 24th, 2019 when you sent this letter 19 19 to Yuanda, did you agree with Sciame that Yuanda's Q. Did you write this letter? 20 A. I -- I do not think so. Did I write the 20 fabrication of the WT-3 clerestory was non-conforming? 21 letter directly myself? No. 21 A. Can you say -- ask that one more time, Q. In the first line, you state: "We are 22 22 please. 23 writing to formally notify you that Sciame has rejected 23 Q. Yes. On June 24th, 2019 when you sent this 24 as non-conforming Yuanda's fabrication of the WT-3 24 letter to Yuanda, did you, you personally, agree that

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

- 1 Yuanda's fabrication of the WT-3 clerestory was
- 2 non-conforming?
- 3 A. Give me a minute because I need to word this
- 4 properly.
- 5 (Brief pause.)
- 6 MR. CARBONE: I'm just going to object to the
- 7 form.
- 8 BY THE WITNESS:
- 9 A. Can you repeat that one more time, please.
- 10 BY MR. GILL:
- 11 Q. Absolutely. On June 24th, 2019 when you sent
- 12 this letter to Yuanda, did you personally agree with
- 13 Sciame that Yuanda's fabrication or fabrication and
- 14 design of the WT-3 clerestory was non-conforming?
- MR. CARBONE: I'll object to the form again.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- 17 A. I agree that we are owed a -- that Whitestone
- 18 and Yuanda are owed an extra to be paid for this work,
- 19 remedial work.
- 20 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. Based on that answer, it sounds like you do
- 22 not agree with Sciame's conclusion; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, I agree that the architect and the
- 24 engineer of record bear responsibility, significant
- Page 103

- 1 responsibility for this.
- Q. Does Yuanda bear any responsibility?
- 3 A. Yuanda bears responsibility inasmuch as
- 4 Whitestone bears responsibility to Sciame.
- 5 Q. Since the architect and others bear you said
- 6 significant responsibility, what was the purpose of
- 7 sending this letter on June 24th, 2019?
- 8 A. Because it is our interpretation of the
- 9 agreement that if there's any work to be rejected that
- 10 Yuanda is responsible to remedy it.
- 11 Q. Mr. Dearth testified that to his knowledge
- 12 this is the only letter or communication from Whitestone
- 13 to Yuanda where Whitestone rejected Yuanda's work. Is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. I can't say yes or no.
- 16 Q. Is there any other communication where you
- 17 sent to -- Sorry. Strike that.
- 18 Is there any communication that you sent to
- 19 Yuanda in which you say that Yuanda's work is rejected?
- 20 A. I -- I cannot say yes or no. I'm not aware.
- 21 Q. I'm asking about -- and I want to make sure
- 22 that you understand and the record's clear. I'm asking
- 23 about what you did personally. You are not aware at any
- 24 other time that you sent a communication to Yuanda where

- Page 104
- 1 you told Yuanda Whitestone was rejecting Yuanda's work?
- 2 A. I am -- I do not remember, no.
- Q. The way I read this letter and based on your
- 4 testimony, Whitestone is not rejecting Yuanda's work but
- 5 is passing through Sciame's rejection. Is that an
- 6 accurate understanding?
- 7 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 8 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. I think it's completely -- no, I would
- 10 disagree. I would say that Whitestone is rejecting
- 11 Yuanda's work based on Sciame's rejection.
- 12 BY MR. GILL:
- 13 Q. Okay. Where in this do you say Whitestone is
- 14 rejecting Yuanda's work?
- A. We don't specifically use those words, but I
- 16 think it's clearly inferred and logical to come to that
- 17 conclusion based on -- based on the letter.
- 18 Q. Do you agree with Sciame that Whitestone
- 19 installed the WT-3 clerestory as non-conforming?
- A. We installed it according to the originally
- 21 approved as-noted shop drawings.
- 22 Q. Does that mean it was conforming or
- 23 non-conforming?
- 24 A. The design was non-conforming. We installed
 - Page 105
- 1 it correctly to the -- to the incorrect design that's
- 2 being claimed by the architect.
- 3 Q. Is it your testimony that at the time
- 4 Whitestone installed the clerestory the design failed to
- 5 conform to the contract documents?
- 6 A. Can you repeat that one more time?
- 7 Q. Is it your testimony that at the time
- 8 Whitestone installed the WT-3 clerestory that the
- 9 curtainwall failed to conform to the contract documents?
- 10 A. It's my understanding that at the time it was
- 11 installed it was installed at that time according to the
- 12 contract documents as understood at that time.
- 12 contract documents as understood at that time.
- 13 Q. And at some later date there was additional
- 14 design criteria provided by Sciame or Perkins Eastman; is
- 15 that an accurate statement?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And Yuanda -- Whitestone neither -- Strike
- 18 that.
- 19 Neither Whitestone nor Yuanda had that design
- 20 criteria at the time that the curtainwall system was
- 21 designed?
- 22 A. The 3.4 inches?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. As far as I know, no, I'm not aware of it.

- 1 Q. And neither Whitestone nor Yuanda had that
- 2 design criteria at the time that the curtainwall was
- 3 fabricated; is that accurate?
- 4 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- 6 A. As far --
- 7 BY MR. GILL:
- 8 Q. As far as you know?
- 9 A. As far as I'm aware, no.
- 10 O. And neither Whitestone nor Yuanda had that
- 11 design criteria at the time that Whitestone installed the
- 12 WT-3 curtainwall as far as you know?
- 13 A. As far as I know, no.
- 14 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 15 BY THE WITNESS:
- 16 A. No.
- 17 BY MR. GILL:
- 18 Q. If you turn to the second page of Exhibit 12.
- 19 In the first paragraph, you state: "Yuanda is hereby
- 20 directed to remediate the misfabricated already installed
- 21 WT-3 clerestory as directed by Sciame and is advised that
- 22 all costs and expenses related to the same shall be borne
- 23 by and be the sole responsibility of Yuanda."
- 24 A. Yes.

1

- Page 107
- Q. What part of the WT-3 clerestory was
- 2 misfabricated as you state?
- 3 A. In essence, the entire thing was
- 4 misfabricated because it was -- it was fabricated
- 5 originally to the wrong design criteria.
- 6 Q. Well, do you agree that the components that
- 7 Yuanda delivered were fabricated based on approved shop
- 8 drawings?
- 9 A. Can you say that one more time, please.
- 10 Q. Do you agree that the components that Yuanda
- 11 delivered to the project site were fabricated based on
- 12 approved shop drawings?
- 13 A. It was based on made -- made corrections
- 14 noted shop drawings, yes.
- 15 Q. Were those components fabricated to conform
- 16 to the notes and the shop drawings?
- 17 A. The notes on the shop drawings at that time,
- 18 yes, those specific submissions, yes.
- 19 Q. And as far as you know, Whitestone installed
- 20 those components based on the approved shop drawings?
- 21 A. On those approved made corrections noted shop
- 22 drawings, yes.
- Q. So what component that Whitestone installed
- 24 was misfabricated?

- A. It would be -- the entire system would be
- 2 misfabricated based on the architect's -- based upon the
- 3 architect's -- what's the term -- condition that the
- 4 total deflection was 3.4 inches.
- 5 Q. But --
- 6 A. Not based on --
- 7 O. Sorry.
- 8 A. Not based upon the half an inch that was
- 9 originally used.
- 10 Q. That's a problem with the design not the
- 11 fabrication; right?
- 12 A. In my opinion, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And so the components that were
- 14 delivered to the site matched the components as shown in
- 15 the shop drawings; is that accurate?
- 16 A. The components that were delivered to the
- 17 site matched the components that were shown as made
- 18 corrections noted on the previous submission, yes.
- 19 Q. And just so we're touching all the bases,
- 20 your statement that Yuanda bears all costs -- we talked
- 21 about that when we talked about the purchase order -- is
- 22 that the section we discussed in the purchase order?
- 23 A. I believe --
- 24 MR. CARBONE: I'm going to -- I'm going to
 - Page 109

- 1 object to form only because you mentioned a lot of
- 2 sections of the purchase order, so if you want to refer
- 3 him to what language, that's fine.
- 4 MR. GILL: Okay. I withdraw the question.
- 5 BY MR. GILL:
- 6 Q. When you say that Yuanda is advised that all
- 7 costs and expenses related to same be borne by and be the
- 8 sole responsibility of Yuanda, what do you mean?
- 9 A. That any remediation of this -- any costs
- 10 associated with the remediation of this is the
- 11 responsibility of Yuanda.
- 12 Q. What's the basis for you saying that?
- 13 A. Based on our purchase order.
- 14 Q. What language in the purchase order says
- 15 that?
- 16 A. I'll have to go read all the pages to give
- 17 you the answer. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Did you read all the pages when you wrote
- 19 this letter?
- 20 A. I -- I don't think I wrote this letter.
- Q. Who wrote --
- 22 A. Somebody --
- Q. -- this letter?
- 24 A. Most -- most likely the project manager wrote

- 2 Q. And you agreed with everything that was said
- 3 in this letter then?
- 4 A. I have no reason not to.
- Q. Okay. So what part of the purchase order
- 6 requires that Yuanda bear all and be the sole

1 it, and I reviewed it and signed off on it.

- 7 responsibility for all costs?
- 8 A. Didn't we review -- review that before?
- 9 Q. I -- did we? I don't know.
- 10 A. I -- I thought I remember we did. What --
- 11 what was the exhibit number for the purchase order?
- 12 Q. Five.
- 13 MR. CARBONE: Wasn't it 4?
- MR. GILL: Oh, yes, 4. I'm sorry. Correct.
- 15 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. And are you referring to -- to help not to
- 17 belabor this, at the bottom of 1105 the paragraph under
- 18 Paragraph 10, is that the portion that we discussed
- 19 earlier and is that the portion you're referring to?
- 20 MR. CARBONE: Just so the record's clear,
- 21 you're referring to paragraph "Inspection and Defective
- 22 Work"?
- 23 MR. GILL: Correct.
- 24 MR. CARBONE: Okay.

Page 111

- 1 BY THE WITNESS:
- 2 A. The line that says: "If vendor does not do
- 3 so within a reasonable time, subcontractor shall have the
- 4 right to do so, and vendor shall be liable to
- 5 subcontractor for the costs thereof."
- 6 BY MR. GILL:
- 7 Q. Okay. In the last paragraph of your letter,
- 8 Exhibit 12, you started by saying: "Be assured that
- 9 Whitestone has taken and will continue to take the
- 10 position that the information contained in Sciame's
- 11 response to RFI number 1130 constitutes a belated design
- 12 change in the contract documents for which Whitestone
- 13 (and Yuanda) is entitled to a change order." Is that a
- 14 true statement?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What do you mean by belated design change?
- 17 A. That the architect came in after the fact to
- 18 make a design change -- to make a change to the design
- 19 criteria.
- 20 Q. And what design criteria are you referring
- 21 to?
- 22 A. The -- the deflection.
- Q. So the misfabrication you referred to in this
- 24 letter is a result of that later provided design

- 1 criteria; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. If this is a design change, why are you
- 4 demanding that Yuanda pay all costs and expenses?
- 5 A. Because it's our position that as far as
- 6 Whitestone is responsible for any of -- any of this that
- 7 it is actually Yuanda's responsibility.
- 8 Q. Yuanda was responsible for installing this
- 9 new -- newly designed bracket; is that your position?
- 10 A. Sorry. That -- that question doesn't make
- 11 much sense.
- 12 Q. You said that it's your position to the
- 13 extent that Whitestone is responsible that Yuanda is
- 14 responsible; is that accurate --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- what I said?
- 17 A. To the -- Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So why is Yuanda responsible for the
- 19 actual installation or the cost to actually install this
- 20 newly designed bracket?
- A. Because the installation of the newly
- 22 designed bracket is a -- is a result of the original
- 23 design not being correct.
- Q. Well, the original -- correct me if I'm

Page 113

- 1 wrong. I know we're going in circles here. The original
- 2 design was not correct because of later provided
- 3 information; right?
- 4 A. Again, that's my opinion, yes, but -- and
- 5 like I said before, but as far as Whitestone is
- 6 responsible, that responsibility is passed down to
- 7 Yuanda.
- 8 Q. Was Yuanda ever responsible under the terms
- 9 of the purchase order for installing any part at the
- 10 project?
- 11 A. To install --
- 12 MR. CARBONE: Objection. Objection to form.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A. White -- I'm sorry. Ask one more time.
- 15 BY MR. GILL:
- 16 Q. Under the terms of the purchase order was
- 17 Yuanda ever responsible for the costs to install any
- 18 component of the WT-3 clerestory?
- 19 MR. CARBONE: Objection to form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. White -- Yuanda was not responsible to -- for
- 22 the costs for any of the -- of the original installation
- 23 of the -- of any material that they provided, no.
- 24 BY MR. GILL:

Page 114 Page 116 Q. Why are they then responsible for the 1 seen this document in this form? 1 2 2 installation of this newly designed bracket? A. Yes. Q. Now, I suspect that your attorney helped 3 A. Because, once again, it's as far as 4 Whitestone was responsible to do this, our interpretation 4 because that's what we do, but who drafted the majority 5 is that Yuanda is responsible because of the design flaw, 5 of this declaration? 6 the original design flaw. A. I'm pretty -- most likely my lawyer. O. What is the original design flaw? 7 Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to review A. That the deflection went from -- was -- the 8 and make comments of this before it was submitted? 9 original -- the original design was based on half an A. Yes, I did. 10 inch, where now the architect is stating that it's based 10 Q. Before I ask questions about these 11 on 3.4 inches. 11 statements, are you aware of anything in here that's 12 Q. Why didn't Whitestone provide that 3.5 -- 3.4 12 inaccurate or incorrect? 13 inches when Yuanda originally designed the clerestory? 13 A. Not that I'm aware of. 14 14 A. Because we were not aware of it. Q. Okay. And if you look at declaration number 15 Q. So was Yuanda aware of it? 15 1, statement number 1, it says you have personal 16 A. I -- I don't know if they were aware of it or 16 knowledge of the facts set forth herein. Is that 17 not. If they weren't or if it was unclear at any point 17 accurate? 18 what the design should have been, it was Yuanda's 18 A. Yes. 19 responsibility as the designer to ask the question what 19 Q. In paragraph or statements 6 through 12, you 20 go through a very detailed explanation of the prime 20 is the proper deflection. Q. Whitestone has a responsibility to Sciame to 21 contract and the administrative dispute resolution 22 design the system; correct? 22 process. It's my understanding from your prior -- your 23 A. Yes. 23 testimony earlier today you have not seen the prime 24 Q. And Whitestone has a responsibility to Sciame 24 contract; is that correct? Page 115 Page 117 1 to ask the question about deflection; correct? 1 A. I'm sorry? A. As -- when take into account our contract Q. It's my understanding from your testimony 3 direct with Sciame, yes, Whitestone is responsible, but 3 earlier today you had not seen the prime contract; is 4 Whitestone hired Yuanda as the consultant to do that work 4 that correct? A. Between? Between whom? 5 for us. 5 6 O. Did Whitestone ever ask Sciame what the O. The prime contract as I understand it is 7 maximum deflection was at the WT-3 clerestory? 7 between the owner and Sciame. A. Did Whitestone -- Whitestone never 8 A. Okay. I -- yes, my recollection, I've never 8 9 specifically asked that question, no. 9 seen it. 10 MR. GILL: Okay. I'd like to take a break 10 Q. Okay. If you turn to statement 14 which is 11 now. 11 on Page 4 of Exhibit 27 and read that to yourself, and 12 I'm going to have you -- when I direct you to a 12 MR. CARBONE: How long? 13 13 statement, I want you to read it to yourself. MR. GILL: Five minutes. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off record, 11:41. 14 A. Okay. 15 (WHEREUPON, a break was 15 (Witness peruses document.) taken.) 16 Okay. I've read it. 16 17 We're back on the record at 11:49. 17 Q. Okay. You state in this that -- in part, 18 BY MR. GILL: 18 that the construction documents were 100 percent 19 complete, meaning that the builder could construct the 19 Q. I want to talk about the affidavit or 20 declaration you provided in support of Whitestone's 20 project to 100 percent completion without any deviation 21 motion for summary judgment, so if you could look at 21 from the plans. Did you review the construction 22 Exhibit 27. 22 documents when Whitestone received them? 23 23 A. Prior to bid? A. Okay.

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

24

Q.

Yes.

Q. Do you recognize this document? Have you

A. Not that I remember.

- 2 Q. Who at Whitestone reviewed the construction
- 3 documents?

1

- 4 A. This would have been Phil Carvelas.
- 5 Q. So what is the basis of your statement,
- 6 your -- based on your personal knowledge that Whitestone
- 7 reviewed them and they were 100 percent complete?
- 8 A. Because that's simply the way things work.
- 9 That's -- that's the -- that's -- that's industry
- 10 standard.
- 11 Q. That the construction documents are 100
- 12 percent complete?
- 13 A. That they're supposed to be, yes.
- Q. I understand they're supposed to be, but this
- 15 is a statement of fact that you say Whitestone reviewed
- 16 them and the documents were 100 percent complete. You
- 17 don't know that as a fact. You're basing it on
- 18 conversations with Phil; right, or someone else?
- 19 A. No. I'm basing that upon -- that's un --
- 20 it's industry standard, that when you provide a bid that
- 21 you're providing a bid against drawings that are supposed
- 22 to be 100 percent completed.
- Q. It was intended that the drawings be 100
- 24 percent complete?

Page 119

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you know if they were 100 percent
- 3 complete?
- 4 A. I guess it depends on your definition of
- 5 complete, meaning complete enough to provide a bid to or
- 6 complete enough to build against.
- 7 Q. What did you mean in statement 14 when you
- 8 said they were 100 percent complete?
- 9 A. Meaning to bid.
- 10 Q. They were not 100 percent complete to be
- 11 constructed to then?
- 12 A. Well, they never are because it's -- it's --
- 13 I don't think there's ever been any job in the history of
- 14 construction that there's never been a change to a -- to
- 15 a contract document.
- 16 Q. Quite frankly, I was surprised to see the
- 17 number 100 percent complete in your statement of facts
- 18 because you're absolutely right. Things happen. Things
- 19 change. Things don't -- aren't the way they are drawn.
- 20 Architects can draw anything. I get that.
- 21 So turning to statement 15, can you read that
- 22 to yourself?
- 23 A. "Whitestone commenced work on the project --"
- 24 (Witness peruses document.)

1 Okay.

- Q. Is that a statement that you have personal
- 3 knowledge of?
- 4 A. Personal knowledge at -- at the time -- at
- 5 the time in -- at the time of April 2014?
- 6 Q. Well, you signed these -- okay. Let me --
- 7 let me clarify. These were submitted in November 2020,
- 8 and so in November 2020 you are stating as fact the
- 9 statement in 15.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. In November 2020, was that an accurate
- 12 statement?
- 13 A. It was an accurate statement at -- at April
- 14 2014 that when we commenced that that was the assumption.
- 15 Q. Okay. What anticipated minimum changes did 16 you expect?
- 17 A. That's -- that's very difficult to -- to
- 18 qualify or to describe. It's -- I would say you probably
- 19 base that upon a percentage of the contract -- of the
- 20 original contract value.
- Q. Okay. What percentage is it? How do you
- 22 define minimum change?
- A. In my opinion, it would be one to two percent
- 24 shooting from the hip, I guess.

Page 121

- Q. Were the WT-3 clerestory not -- sorry. So
- 2 for all of the curtainwall, all the work that Yuanda was
- 3 going to perform not counting this later change, what
- 4 were the total percentage of changes that occurred during
- 5 construction?
- 6 A. I don't know. I can't answer that.
- 7 Q. Was it more than one or two percent?
- 8 A. I am -- I -- I have -- I have no idea. I
- 9 can't answer that. I don't have -- I never saw that
- 10 information.
- 11 Q. Who would have that information?
- 12 A. I guess Phil Carvelas would.
- 13 Q. If you can turn to statement 19. Read that
- 14 to yourself.
- 15 (Witness peruses document.)
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Okay. And I'm asking for clarity on this
- 18 because I'm confused. This -- the way this reads to me
- 19 is that Whitestone and Yuanda did all of the work listed
- 20 here cooperatively. So -- but it's my understanding that
- 21 actually Yuanda did the work regarding preparing
- 22 submittals, drawings and materials needed to obtain
- 23 approval. Is that accurate?
- 24 A. Yeah, for their -- for their scope of work

- 1 that they were hired to do, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. And that Whitestone and not Yuanda was
- 3 working to construct and install the curtainwall?
- A. I don't get -- I don't get that question.
- 5 Q. Well, it says -- the second part is: "And
- 6 thereafter, to construct and install the project's
- 7 curtainwall system including but not limited to the WT-3
- 8 clerestory." Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And who was responsible for the construction
- 11 and installation of the project's curtainwall system?
- 12 A. It was -- it was the responsibility of Yuanda
- 13 to design and fabricate it and for Whitestone to -- to
- 14 install.
- 15 Q. Did White -- or did Yuanda have any
- 16 responsibility for the installation of the curtainwall
- 17 system?
- 18 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. At the original installation, no.
- 21 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. If you can turn to statement 23 on Page 7.
- 23 A. Okay
- Q. Read that to yourself, please.

Page 123

- (Witness peruses document.)
- 2 A. Okay.

1

- 3 Q. In statement 23 you say at the end, the last
- 4 half is: "There were no obvious and unambiguous
- 5 corrections noted with respect to any -- to the --"
- 6 sorry -- "with respect to the ability of the WT-3
- 7 clerestory system to accommodate movement." Is that an
- 8 accurate statement?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What do you mean by no obvious and
- 11 unambiguous corrections noted?
- 12 A. At that time the architect never -- never
- 13 indicated the -- the proper deflection of 3.4 inches at
- 14 that point, at that time in this submittal.
- 15 Q. For the record, we're talking about the
- 16 second submittal, the make corrections noted --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. -- comments?
- 19 If you can look at statement 28 on the next
- 20 page, Page 8. Read that to yourself, please.
- 21 (Witness peruses document.)
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. In your answer, you quote from the RFI
- 24 response, and you may want the RFI, you know, response

Page 124

- 1 which is Exhibit 6. Do you know what the architect or
- 2 its consultant was talking about when it talks about
- 3 concern was previously noted in the 2014 prior submittals
- 4 to verify the larger movement joint to accommodate roof
- 5 deflection?
- A. Specifically what he's referring to I don't
- 7 know.
- 8 Q. Did you do anything to ask or investigate
- 9 what the architect meant regarding that comment?
- 10 A. I'm not -- I'm not even -- like I said, I'm
- 11 not even aware of that comment, so I can't -- I can't
- 12 answer that question. I'm not even -- I don't even know
- 13 what -- what comment he's referring to.
- Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 6. In the yellow
- 15 box on the first page of Exhibit 6, there are four noted
- 16 items or noted comments or questions.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. The second one appears to be the one you're
- 19 referring to in your declaration. So do you recall ever
- 20 reading that comment on the RFI, Exhibit 6?
- A. You mean -- do you mean the comment on the
- 22 RFI or the comment he's referring to originally?
- O. The comment on the RFI.
- 24 A. I don't specifically remember reading this

- 1 comment on this RFI.
- Q. Do you remember any communication between you
- 3 and Sciame or Perkins Eastman regarding previous concerns
- 4 expressed by the architect or the architect's consultant?
- 5 MR. CARBONE: Just for clarity, when you say
- 6 you, you mean Mr. Grzic --
- 7 MR. GILL: I'm not talking about --
- 8 MR. CARBONE: -- personally?
- 9 MR. GILL: Yes.
- 10 MR. CARBONE: Personally?
- 11 MR. GILL: Yes.
- 12 MR. CARBONE: Okay.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A. Not that I -- not that I'm aware of, no.
- 15 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. Is there anything that you know of, know
- 17 about their concerns, meaning Perkins Eastman, Sciame or
- 18 the consultant, other than what's actually stated in this
- 19 document?
- A. Which document?
- Q. The RFI, Exhibit 6.
- 22 A. Okay. So repeat that question then one more
- 23 time.
- 24 Q. Do you have any understanding or information

- 1 regarding Sciame, Perkins Eastman or the consultant's
- 2 concern other than what's stated in the Exhibit 6?
- 3 A. Not really.
- 4 Q. Do you -- did you do anything to investigate
- 5 this statement in Exhibit 6?
- 6 A. Me personally?
- 7 O. Yes.
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you know if anyone at Whitestone did
- 10 anything to investigate that comment?
- 11 A. Not definitively, no, but they -- not
- 12 definitively, no.
- 13 Q. And then you said but. I missed what you
- 14 said.
- 15 A. Yeah, I --
- 16 Q. They should have or they shouldn't?
- 17 A. No. My answer's I definitively -- no, that's
- 18 it. I definitively do not know if anybody looked into
- 19 this, no.
- 20 Q. Okay. If you can read statement 29 to
- 21 yourself in Exhibit 27 on Page 8.
- 22 A. You said 27 or 29?
- Q. No. No. Sorry. I know a lot of numbers.
- 24 Exhibit 27 --

Page 127

- 1 A. Oh, okay.
- Q. -- your declaration, on Page 8, paragraph 29.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 (Witness peruses document.)
- 5 Okay.
- 6 Q. The second sentence you state: "Our position
- 7 was that the architect's submittal disposition" then it
- 8 continues. I just -- question about our position. Are
- 9 you referring to the combined position of Yuanda and
- 10 Whitestone or are you talking about Whitestone's
- 11 position?
- 12 A. Well, our appears to be referencing
- 13 Whitestone and Yuanda in the sentence before, so I would
- 14 say Yuanda and Whitestone.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so it's your understanding and
- 16 position that Yuanda was permitted to fabricate the WT-3
- 17 clerestory based on the second submission as long as they
- 18 complied with the comments that the architect provided;
- 19 is that accurate?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you can look at statement 30 on the
- 22 same page.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. You say: "In a -- in a direction by

Page 128

- 1 Sciame -- Sciame to Whitestone." What direction are you 2 referring to?
- 3 A. That the system is rejected.
- Q. I understand. But the direction came -- was
- 5 received in some way. So is that the May 3rd, 2019
- 6 e-mail or was there something else? And before you
- 7 answer, that May 3rd e-mail is Exhibit 8.
- 8 A. Exhibit 8?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. Yes, most likely it refers to Exhibit 8, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Were there any other directions that
- 12 you were referring to from Sciame that Whitestone needs
- 13 to create -- perform remedial repairs?
- 14 A. I am not aware of any other one.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, is the last half of that
- 16 statement also correct that Sciame was directing
- 17 Whitestone to make changes to the "previously installed
- 18 WT-3 clerestory structural members to be modified in the
- 19 field"? Is that an accurate statement?
- 20 A. That -- say that question one more time,
- 21 please.
- Q. I just want to know if the last half of that
- 23 sentence is accurate, that Sciame directed Whitestone
- 24 that the previously installed WT-3 clerestory structural

- 1 members be modified in the field?
- 2 A. No, I don't think Sciame -- quote Sciame
- 3 didn't specifically say to -- Sciame does not -- would
- 4 not have told us the means and methods of what to do or
- 5 how to do it. It would have been our responsibility to
- 6 propose a remediation, and the architect of record would
- 7 have to approve it, and then the means and methods of --
- 8 of -- of finishing that remediation would be up to us, so
- 9 technically your -- the answer -- the technical answer to
- 10 your question is Sciame did not direct us to modify it in
- 11 the field.
- 12 Q. It was Whitestone's means and methods on how
- 13 that modification or remediation was to be accomplished?
- 14 A. Along with Yuanda because Yuanda prior --
- 15 provided us with the drawings of what they would approve
- 16 of the changes to their system.
- 17 Q. If you can turn to the next page and read
- 18 statement 32 to yourself.
- 19 (Witness peruses document.)
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. You state -- the last half of the first
- 22 sentence says: "Whitestone was required under the
- 23 administrative dispute resolution process to correct the
- 24 work immediately." Is that -- where is that requirement

Page 130 Page 132 1 of Whitestone stated? Q. I only ask because you said this is a life A. That would be somewhere in the contract 2 safety issue. 3 between Whitestone and Sciame. 3 You state in that same sentence that Yuanda Q. Is that in the prime contract or the 4 agreed to get paid later. What is your factual basis 5 subcontract? 5 that Yuanda agreed to get paid later? A. I don't know off the top of my head. I'm not MR. CARBONE: I'm going to object to the --6 7 sure. 7 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Is that the requirement that Whitestone A. You know --9 perform work under protest? Is that tied to the same MR. CARBONE: I'm going to object to the 10 thing? 10 form. I don't think that's what it says. 11 A. Yeah. I would -- yeah, they're related. 11 MR. GILL: Okay. I'll read it again into the 12 Q. Are you -- do you know whether the purchase 12 record. 13 order Terms and Conditions require that Yuanda perform 13 MR. CARBONE: Sure. 14 work under protest? 14 BY MR. GILL: Q. "Yuanda was aware of the need to fix now and 15 A. That's my understanding, yes. 15 16 MR. CARBONE: Mr. Gill? 16 agreed to get paid later (if at all) pending the outcome 17 MR. GILL: Yeah. 17 of the dispute resolution process." So what is your 18 MR. CARBONE: Mr. Gill, our lunch came, so 18 basis for saying Yuanda agreed to get paid later? 19 whenever it's good for you to break. 19 MR. CARBONE: Same objection. MR. GILL: Let me finish up on this statement 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 then we can take a break. 21 A. That was -- it didn't come through. 22 22 MR. CARBONE: Sure. MR. GILL: I heard it. Yeah, it came through. 23 23 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 24 BY MR. GILL: 24 BY THE WITNESS: Page 131 Page 133 Q. In this last statement, paragraph 32 on Page A. Okay. That's based upon our purchase order 2 9, you state: "Yuanda was aware of the need to fix now 2 which we feel that it states that Yuanda's held to the 3 and agreed to get paid later (if at all) pending the 3 same Terms and Conditions as we are to the owner 4 outcome of the dispute resolution process." What is your 4 regarding disputed -- disputed work, saying that Yuanda 5 basis that -- to say that Yuanda was aware of the need to 5 is bound to those same conditions to fix it now and --6 fix now? 6 and to -- to get compensated at a later date pending the A. We -- Whitestone told Yuanda that this is --7 outcome of the dispute resolution process. 8 that it needs to be fixed now. This is -- we cannot 8 BY MR. GILL: 9 delay fixing this because it's a -- one, it's a life Q. You're -- you're not referring to some 10 safety issue; and, two, by contract we need to fix it now 10 communication that occurred after January 2017 where 11 even though we disagree with the owner's stance that it 11 Yuanda said we agree to get paid later? 12 is not an extra. 12 A. No, I'm not aware of Yuanda ever saying that 13 to me. Q. What does -- what do you refer to or the time 13 14 frame of now? Because this was raised in January 2017. 14 MR. GILL: That's it on this. We'll take a 15 The work occurred in August/September of 2020, so what is 15 break. What do you need, half hour? 16 the -- what do you mean by now? 16 MR. CARBONE: Half an hour's good. 17 A. ASA -- as soon as possible I would say. 17 MR. GILL: Okay. Sounds good. 18 Q. Okay. Was the building shut down between 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 12:15. 19 (WHEREUPON, a break was 19 January 2017 and the time that the remediation was 20 completed? 20 taken.) 21 A. Due to COVID, I believe so. 21 We're back on the record at 12:49. Q. Was it shut down prior to COVID, prior to 22 BY MR. GILL: 23 February 2019 -- 2020? I'm sorry. 23 Q. Okay. Still looking at Exhibit 27, your 24 A. 20 -- not that I'm aware of. I do not know. 24 declaration, if you can look at Page 13, statement 44 and

1 read that to yourself, please.

- 2 (Witness peruses document.)
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. In this statement, you say that: "Whitestone
- 5 informed Yuanda that the right to seek additional
- 6 compensation was specifically preserved." Did you have
- 7 any discussions with Yuanda regarding the right to seek
- 8 additional compensation whether that was specifically
- 9 preserved?
- 10 A. I had discussions with Yuanda telling them
- 11 yes, that we will -- that we have the right to -- to go
- 12 after this extra and that we fully intend to.
- 13 Q. Okay. What do you mean by was specifically
- 14 preserved by Whitestone's timely filing of the notice of
- 15 dispute?
- 16 A. The contract -- the contract -- the contract
- 17 requires us to file a notice of dispute and a description
- 18 of dispute whenever there's a dispute arises as to extra
- 19 work and that those notices were filed with the
- 20 construction manager, Sciame.
- Q. Okay. And was that in the subcontract
- 22 between Whitestone and Sciame or the prime contract, the
- 23 requirement?
- A. I don't remember which one it was in. I

Page 135

- 1 don't know.
- Q. And then the second sentence at the very
- 3 bottom of the page says: "Whitestone further urged
- 4 Yuanda to complete the remediation work under protest."
- 5 Did you have conversations directly with Yuanda regarding
- 6 Yuanda's requirement to perform work under protest?
- 7 A. I talked to Yuanda directly, and I believe
- 8 that was mentioned.
- 9 Q. Okay. When did you -- if you recall, when
- 10 did you tell Yuanda that it needed to perform remediation
- 11 work under protest?
- 12 A. I -- the exact dates or even the general time
- 13 line I don't even know. I can't even say. Prior to
- 14 us -- prior to us commencing with the actual physical
- 15 work.
- 16 Q. In this statement what remediation work are
- 17 you referring to?
- 18 A. What remediation work? That we -- I don't
- 19 know if I can technically tell you. I think some --
- 20 some -- some of the steel in WT-3 was replaced or added
- 21 to allow -- to allow more deflection in the system.
- 22 That's the best I could describe it as.
- Q. The remediation work required by Sciame's
- 24 rejection included redesign of that connection, the head

1 connection of the WT-3; correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. That included new details for that head
- 4 connection; is that accurate?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. It included new structural calculations for
- 7 that connection?
- 8 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Yuanda did, in fact, provide design services
- 10 for that new connection, didn't it?
- 11 A. Yes, they did.
 - Q. They -- they redesigned the connection
- 13 detail?

12

- 14 A. I'm not sure if they redesigned it from
- 15 scratch or if someone from my office proposed to them
- 16 what -- what we felt would be best in the field to do and
- 17 they just reviewed it and agreed to it, said yes, go
- 18 ahead.
- 19 Q. Well, they provided --
- 20 A. They --
- Q. They provided the drawings?
- 22 A. Yeah, they provided the actual drawings and
- 23 the calculation, yes.
- Q. And they provided engineer stamped

Page 137

- 1 calculations?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. So Yuanda did provide work -- remediation
 - 4 with respect to this new connection?
 - 5 A. They provided some services, yes.
 - 6 Q. Okay. If you can look at statement 45 and
- 7 read that to yourself.
- 8 (Witness peruses document.)
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. My question relates to the -- what you see in
- 11 the second line where -- the "remediate the purportedly
- 12 misfabricated previously installed clerestory system."
- 13 Are you referring to when you say misfabricated what we
- 14 discussed earlier about the misfabrication, that it was
- 15 the entire system that was misfabricated?
- 16 MR. CARBONE: I object to form.
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A. Can you ask the question one more time?
- 19 BY MR. GILL:
- 20 Q. Okay. What misfabricated components of the
- 21 WT-3 clerestory system are you referring to in this
- 22 statement?
- 23 A. Misfabricated means originally fabricated
- 24 along -- misfabricated according to the new design

- 1 criteria, so the -- the best I can answer that is the
- 2 entire system is -- is misfabricated. I can't point out
- 3 any specific component of the system that would be
- 4 misfabricated.
- Q. Was any part of the WT-3 clerestory
- 6 misfabricated based on the information that Whitestone
- 7 had or Yuanda had as of December 31st, 2016?
- 8 A. Is that date -- that date refers to what --
- 9 what happened on that date specifically?
- 10 Q. Nothing. It's three weeks before the RFI was
- 11 issued. So at any time --
- 12 A. Oh.
- 13 Q. -- prior to the issuance of the RFI was
- 14 the -- is it your opinion whether the WT-3 clerestory was
- 15 misfabricated?
- 16 A. We were not aware of any reason for it to be
- 17 misfabricated at that time.
- 18 Q. I'm not asking whether you were aware. I'm
- 19 saying as you sit here today do you have an opinion about
- 20 whether the system was misfabricated based on the
- 21 information known as of the date of the RFI.
- 22 A. No. I'm sorry. Based on -- upon that --
- 23 that date prior to that RFI; correct?
- 24 Q. Correct.

Page 139

- 1 A. Yes, prior to their RFI, no. You have my
- 2 answer.
- 3 Q. Sorry. There's a lot of yes, no and dates
- 4 and things like that, and I'm not trying to trap you or 5 trick you.
- 6 A. No. I understand.
- 7 Q. As date of the RFI or as of the date before
- 8 the RFI, you agree that Yuanda's design -- Strike that.
- 9 You agree that none of the components were
- 10 misfabricated?
- 11 A. Yes. That was our -- that was our
- 12 understanding, yes.
- 13 Q. If you look at statement 49 on Page 14.
- 14 Please read that to yourself.
- 15 (Witness peruses document.)
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. What -- you say that Yuanda refused to do any
- 18 work to correct the WT-3 clerestory system as directed by
- 19 Whitestone. What work did Whitestone direct Yuanda to 20 perform?
- 21 A. To actually perform the physical remediation,
- 22 to provide the materials and to perform, physically
- 23 perform the remediation whether through them directly or
- 24 if they wanted to hire a third party or if they wanted to

1 hire us to do it or any of those means.

Q. Did Whitestone direct Yuanda to come up with

Page 140

- 3 a new design for the connection?
- 4 A. Once again, I'm not sure if they came up with
- 5 the design themselves or if we proposed the design.
- 6 Q. My question is did Whitestone direct Yuanda
- 7 to come up with a new design.
- 8 A. I don't know because I -- like I said, we
- 9 could have proposed the design to them.
- 10 Q. Did Whitestone direct Yuanda to come up or
- 11 provide structural calculations?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did Whitestone direct Yuanda to fabricate the
- 14 new connection or the new brackets?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did Whitestone direct Yuanda to provide
- 17 technical support during the remediation?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did Whitestone, in fact, come up with a new
- 20 design whether it was based on a suggestion or not?
- A. Once again, are you asking if we came up with
- 22 the design?
- 23 Q. I probably -- I misspoke. I'm sure I
- 24 misspoke.

Page 14

- Did Yuanda come up with a new design whether or not it was based on a suggestion from Whitestone?
- 2 of not it was based on a suggestion from v
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. Did Yuanda actually provide engineer stamped
- 5 calculations?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did Yuanda actually offer to fabricate the
- 8 new connections?
- 9 A. Did they?
- 10 Q. Offer to fabricate.
- 11 A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure if they ever
- 12 offered to fabricate it.
- 13 Q. Did Yuanda ever offer to provide technical
- 14 assistance during installation of the new connection?
- 15 A. If they ever directly offered technical
- 16 assistance I'm not -- I cannot say. If it would have
- 17 been inferred, I -- that's a different question I would
- 18 say.
- 19 Q. So isn't it true that Yuanda did not refuse
- 20 to perform any work? Yuanda did, in fact, refuse to
- 21 perform installation work though?
- 22 A. They refused to perform installation work,
- 23 but they also refused to provide material in a timely
- 24 manner which for us the direction was time is of the

- 1 essence, and by the time they offered to provide the
- 2 material, we -- we had to -- by that time we already had
- 3 to make decisions to go to a local supplier which would
- 4 have been faster because we had -- we had -- we had heat
- 5 on us from the owner/Sciame to get this done.
- 6 Q. When did you make the demand that they
- 7 provide material in a timely fashion?
- 8 A. I -- I do not know. I don't know. I do not
- 9 know.
- 10 Q. Was it prior to the -- 2020?
- 11 A. I do not know.
- 12 Q. When did Whitestone contact its local
- 13 supplier in order to get the brackets in a timely
- 14 fashion?
- 15 A. I do not know.
- 16 Q. So you really don't know whether or not
- 17 Yuanda could have provided the brackets in a timely
- 18 fashion?
- 19 MR. CARBONE: Objection to the form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A. From my understanding of the situation, it
- 22 was Yuanda's stance up to the time we had to make the
- 23 decision, the final decision to go and actually order the
- 24 material to do the work, it was my understanding that
 - Page 143
- 1 their stance at that point was they were not gonna
- 2 provide anything else besides the drawings that they
- 3 already provided.
- 4 BY MR. GILL:
- 5 Q. Approximately when was that decision made
- 6 that you had to deal with the local supplier?
- 7 A. I do not know.
- 8 Q. Was it in 2020 or before 2020?
- 9 A. It was probably in -- that we went -- that we
- 10 had to go with a local supplier, probably would have been
- 11 2020 I would have to say.
- 12 Q. We looked at vendor's work, the definition of
- 13 vendor's work, in the purchase order Exhibit 4, and feel
- 14 free to refer back to that. It's on the first page.
- 15 A. Of what?
- 16 Q. Exhibit 4, the purchase order.
- 17 A. Oh, Exhibit 4.
- 18 O. Vendor's work does not include the definition
- 19 of installation of any component of the curtainwall
- 20 system, does it?
- A. No. In this sense, no.
- Q. I want you to look at Exhibit 2 which is the
- 23 Complaint.
- 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 2 A. I'm sure it's come across my desk before. I
- 3 don't specifically remember reviewing it but --
- 4 Q. I only have a few questions. If you look at
- 5 Page 5 of the Complaint, allegation paragraph 25. If you
- 6 could read that to yourself.
 - (Witness peruses document.)
- 8 A. Okay.

7

- Q. Do you know what written requests, Whitestone
- 10 written requests this allegation refers to?
- 11 A. Which specific ones, no, I do not know.
- 12 Q. But you agree that if there was written
- 13 requests, demand design for engineer stamped drawings
- 14 that Yuanda did, in fact, comply with those requests to
- 15 design and provide stamped drawings?
- 16 A. With that specific portion of the work
- 17 that -- that they owed us, yes, but that was a miniscule
- 18 amount to the total cost and effort of what it -- of what
- 19 it -- of what was needed to actually do the remediation.
- Q. If you can turn to the next page, Page 6, of
- 21 Exhibit 2. Read paragraph 29 to yourself.
- 22 (Witness peruses document.)
- A. Okay.
- Q. Starting on the second line and going to the
 - Page 145

Page 144

- 1 third line, it says: "Yuanda has refused and/or failed
- 2 to positively respond to Whitestone's correspondence."
- 3 Do you know what that refers to?
- 4 A. I understand that as being failed to
- 5 positive -- I take failed to positively respond, meaning
- 6 that Yuanda's refusing to -- to partake in the
- 7 remediation work.
- 8 Q. Are you aware between January 2017 and
- 9 October -- August 2019 Yuanda was in communication with
- 10 James Dearth regarding the remediation work?
- 11 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 12 BY THE WITNESS:
- 13 A. I am not aware of any specific instances, no.
- 14 BY MR. GILL:
- 15 Q. Okay. So do you know what this allegation
- 16 regarding positive response means?
- 17 A. My interpretation is that Yuanda was -- was
- 18 not agreeing to -- to partake in the remediation of the
- 19 work. That's what I understand that to mean.
- Q. And by partake in the remediation do you mean
- 21 pay for the installation of the new bracket?
- A. Yes, that's what it would mean to me.
- Q. Was there anything else that Yuanda was
- 24 refusing to do regarding the remediation?

- A. To me there was only three components. One 1
- 2 was to do the design which was miniscule compared to the
- 3 other two. The second being provide material, and third
- 4 would be to either provide the labor or pay for the
- 5 labor.
- Q. So a significant -- based on your answer 6
- 7 here, a significant portion of this was to provide the
- 8 material in your mind?
- A. A significant portion?
- 10 Q. Of the remediation was to -- if not dollar
- 11 amount, it was a significant obligation -- was to provide
- 12 the additional or newly designed connections; is that
- 13 accurate?
- 14 A. No, I would not say that. I'd say
- 15 dollar-wise it was -- it was not major. It was a
- 16 relatively minor portion and not only for dollars but in
- 17 terms of -- of obtaining the material because the
- 18 material needed was -- is something that any local
- 19 supplier would be -- would be easily able to do.
- 20 Q. What did Yuanda -- did -- Strike that.
- 21 Did Yuanda provide a lead time or time it
- 22 would take for them to provide, fabricate and provide the
- 23 new connections?
- A. I am not aware of that. 24

A. No, I do not know. 1

- 2 Q. If you can turn to the last page of Exhibit
- 3 27, Page 15, and read the allegation or statement 53 to

Page 148

- 4 yourself.
- 5 A. Twenty-seven you said?
- Q. Exhibit 27, Page 15, statement 53. 6
- Statement 53?
- 8 Yes.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 (Witness peruses document.)
- 11 Okay.
- 12 Q. When did Whitestone complete the remediation
- 13 project?
- 14 A. I believe September or October of 2020.
- 15 Okay. Do you know when Whitestone knew the
- 16 final actual cost, direct cost incurred for that
- 17 remediation?
- 18 A. I mean the exact to the dollar cost could
- 19 vary even still to this day, but we knew what the
- 20 ballpark was or would have been probably within a month
- 21 of finishing.
- 22 Q. Why would the cost, actual direct cost,
- 23 installation cost vary to this day?
- 24 A. Because it all depends. It's not a simple

Page 147

- Page 149
- 1 this guy makes \$20 an hour. It's this guy makes \$20 an 2 hour with benefits and then what were the payroll costs
- 3 at that time, what was the other -- FICA, what was the
- 4 insurance at that time, so it's -- it's -- not that it's
- 5 a moving target, but it does take some time to find out
- 6 what the actual story is.
- Q. As of today, does Whitestone know what its
- 8 actual direct costs incurred are for the remediation?
- A. I believe we have a lot better idea of what
- 10 it costs now. Do I know what the exact cost is right
- 11 now? No.
- 12 Q. Okay. In your declaration, you say that
- 13 "Costs and expenses are no less than \$400,000." Did you
- 14 believe that that was a correct statement when you made
- 15 it in November of 2020?
- 16 A. Yes. We -- yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. If you can look to Exhibit 19. This
- 18 is an updated --
- A. Hold on a second, please. 19
- 20 Q. Yep. Actually, if you can also look at
- 21 Exhibit 17.
- 22 (Witness peruses document.)
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Okay. Exhibit 17 was produced by your

Q. You just are aware or believe that it would

- 2 take too long to get?
- 3 A. No.

- 4 MR. CARBONE: Objection.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Do I answer?
- MR. CARBONE: Yeah.
- 7 BY MR. GILL:
- Q. Please. If you can. 8
- 9 A. So say the answer -- ask the question one
- 10 more time.
- 11 Q. I'm thinking of a better way to ask. It is
- 12 your opinion that the lead time or the -- Strike that.
- 13 It was your opinion or you got the impression
- 14 that it would take too long for Yuanda to get the
- 15 replacement parts to you so you could, you Whitestone,
- 16 could install them in a timely fashion?
- A. I do not know if that's the case because I
- 18 don't know at what point Yuanda told us that they would
- 19 provide the material. It could have been -- as far as
- 20 I -- as far as I know it, they could have offered that at
- 21 the point where we've already ordered the material from
- 22 somebody else. I can't -- I do not know.
- Q. And you don't know when you actually ordered
- 24 the material?

- 1 attorney to me in the middle of October 2020. Do you see
- 2 the dollar amount on Page 1 of Exhibit 17 says that the
- 3 remediation summary for direct -- or for Whitestone's
- 4 costs is \$237,626.88?
- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Exhibit 19 was updated and provided to
- 7 me last week, last Wednesday. You see the total dollar
- 8 amount is the same -- 237 --
- 9 A. Hold on.
- 10 Q. Pardon?
- 11 A. Okay. I'm sorry. Hold on. Okay. Look at
- 12 Exhibit 19?
- 13 Q. Yeah. Do you see the dollar amount stated on
- 14 the first page of 19 is exactly the same as the dollar
- 15 amount stated on the cover page of 17?
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. You see it states \$237,000?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Why in your declaration did you say
- 20 Whitestone's costs were no less than \$400,000?
- A. Because at the time before we actually
- 22 started the remediation work we thought the work would
- 23 have been more intensive where we had to actually remove
- 24 a lot of the glass, but we were -- luckily we were able
 - Page 151
- 1 to mitigate the costs for everybody and actually keep the
- 2 glass in place and install due to the remediation as per
- 3 the approved remediation drawings and calculations.
- 4 Q. But Whitestone had already incurred the
- 5 \$237,000 on November 9th, 2020 when you submitted this
- 6 declaration. So why did the declaration say costs were
- 7 in excess of \$400,000?
- 8 A. I do not know.
- 9 Q. Do you have an opinion whether this
- 10 remediation work that Whitestone performed, whether it
- 11 was warranty work or extras or punch list work or any of
- 12 the above or something different?
- 13 A. No, it was not warranty work. It was not
- 14 punch list work. Whether it's a -- an extra work due to
- 15 errors and omissions from the architectural team, that I
- 16 would agree with.
- 17 Q. You would agree that it is an errors and
- 18 omissions issue, therefore -- I'm not sure I heard you.
- 19 That's why I'm repeating. You would agree that it is an
- 20 errors and omissions, as a result of errors and
- 21 omissions, therefore, additional work?
- A. That's my personal belief, yes.
- 23 Q. If it is errors and omissions additional
- 24 work, why does Yuanda owe Whitestone Whitestone's

- Page 152
- 1 overhead and profit of \$33,000 as shown on Exhibit 19?
- 2 A. Overhead is a cost of the -- of the company.
- 3 Q. Why does Yuanda owe Whitestone that?
- 4 A. Because we believe that we were entitled to
- 5 the -- all the costs associated with this work, and
- 6 overhead is associated -- is a cost incurred by us.
- Q. What is the actual overhead for the costs
- 8 incurred for the 26 days of this remediation project?
- A. Overhead -- for us the way overhead is
- 10 calculated is usually just a percentage of the total cost
- 11 of -- of the -- of the work.
- 12 Q. I understand how it's generally calculated.
- 13 I want to know your actual overhead costs for the 26 days
- 14 of the remediation project.
- 15 A. That's the best answer I can give you is
- 16 overhead is generally calculated as a percentage of the
- 17 cost performed, so I -- I'm not qualified to give you any
- 18 other answer other than that.
- Q. Is anyone at Whitestone qualified to give an
- 20 answer as to what the actual overhead costs are?
- A. My accountant, I guess.
- Q. Who is your accountant?
 - A. Would be Anchin, Block & Anchin.
- Q. Does that \$33,000 on the first page of

Page 153

- 1 Exhibit 19 include profit, the 20 percent?
- 2 A. Yes, that would include overhead and profit,
- 3 yes.

- 4 Q. Why are you entitled to your profit from
- 5 Yuanda for additional work required by errors and
- 6 omissions?
- A. Why? Because -- well, I -- I would make the
- 8 argument that doing this work took away from Whitestone
- 9 attending other contracted work and not paying attention
- 10 on those other contract -- that other contracted work
- 11 which we should have been and -- and losing out on profit
- 12 on that. That would be my argument.
- Q. Why is that owed to Whitestone from Yuanda?
- 14 A. Because -- because it is our stance that
- 15 Yuanda is responsible to do this remediation as -- as --
- 16 until -- until -- at a time that we recoup the money from
- 17 the owner, if we recoup the money from the owner.
- 18 Q. The remediation was due to a design error and
- 19 omission in a design change; correct?
- A. That's my personal opinion, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Where --
- 22 A. I'm --
- Q. Where in the purchase order does it say that
- 24 Yuanda owed Whitestone Whitestone's overhead and profit

- 1 for remediation work?
- A. It does not specifically say that as far as
- 3 I'm aware.
- With respect to the 18 percent for insurance
- 5 listed on Exhibit 19, James Dearth testified that he was
- 6 informed to add 18 percent but he does not recall who
- 7 told him. Did you tell him to add 18 percent to this
- 8 number for insurance?
- A. No. That probably came from our -- probably
- 10 from our -- our controller, CFO.
- 11 Q. How much in insurance did -- Strike that.
- How much were Whitestone's actual insurance 12
- 13 costs during the 26 days of remediation?
- A. I'm sure -- I'm sure the breakdown that we
- 15 were -- that we've given you is pretty accurate, that
- 16 that is a very -- that is an accurate portrayal of what
- 17 our insurance cost was.
- 18 Q. How much were Yuanda's actual insurance costs
- 19 during the 26 days of remediation?
- A. Yuanda's?
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. How much was Whitestone's actual
- 22 insurance -- insurance costs?
- A. Oh, according to this calculation, it was
- 24 36,248.

- Page 155
- Q. Is there any documentation to support that 1
- 2 \$36,248?
- 3 A. In this --
- Q. None was provided I'll tell you. Does
- 5 White -- does Whitestone have any documentation to
- 6 support that 18 percent for insurance.
- 7 A. I'm sure this number was not pulled out of
- 8 thin air.
- 9 Q. How was it calculated?
- 10 A. I don't know how it was calculated.
- 11 Basically probably the insurance -- the insurance rates
- 12 that are indicated on our insurance policy.
- 13 Q. How much is Whitestone's annual premium for
- 14 insurance?
- 15 A. I do not know that off the top of my head.
- Q. Is it over \$500,000? 16
- 17 A. It could be.
- 18 Q. What percentage --
- A. But this is also -- this insurance also
- 20 includes general liability. I mean -- I'm sorry --
- 21 workmans' comp which has nothing to do with the premium, 21
- 22 it gets billed according to the amount of hours that your
- 23 guys worked, so there's a set rate for every hour that
- 24 the guys work, so this -- this insurance includes general

- 1 liability and workmans' compensation.
- 2 Q. How many total hours did Whitestone work in

Page 156

Page 157

- 3 2020, man hours?
- A. I have -- I have no idea.
- Q. What percentage of Whitestone's total man
- 6 hours worked was this remediation project?
- A. Of our direct labor? 7
- O. Yeah.
- A. Miniscule probably.
- Q. What percentage of Whitestone's revenues is 10
- 11 the \$102,000 for man hours for the remediation for 2020?
- 12 A. The total revenue would be absolutely
- 13 minimal, but the thing is the vast, vast majority of our
- 14 work is -- is -- is owner provided insurance, and this is
- 15 not the case on this job, so even though the total man
- 16 hours or the total billing is absolutely miniscule
- 17 compared to our total, its proportion of the billable
- 18 work that requires us to provide insurance is actually a
- 19 lot, lot more than what our total would be, so . . .
- 20 Q. What was the total number of man hours that
- 21 you had to provide insurance for in 2020?
- A. I do not know. I do not know. 22
 - Q. Do you know an approximate percentage of this
- 24 remediation project compared to the total number of man

- 1 hours for which you had to provide insurance?
 - 2 A. I -- I wouldn't -- I wouldn't know. I
- 3 wouldn't be able to guess.
- Q. If you look at the last page of
- 5 Exhibit 19 --
- 6 MR. CARBONE: Can I just interrupt you for a
- 7 second?
- 8 MR. GILL: Sure.
- 9 MR. CARBONE: For whatever reason I
- 10 disappeared from the gallery view. I don't know why.
- 11 MR. GILL: I think you accidentally hit turn
- 12 off -- stop video, so --
- 13 MR. CARBONE: I -- there we are.
- 14 MR. GILL: Yeah.
- 15 MR. CARBONE: Thank you.
- 16 MR. GILL: I didn't have a problem. I figured
- 17 it was a mistake. I wasn't going to make a big deal of
- 18 it.
- 19 MR. CARBONE: You never -- Adam, you never
- 20 know.
- MR. GILL: I know. Hey, Don -- and this can
- 22 be off the record, all of this from Mr. Carbone's
- 23 statement until now.
- 24 (Discussion had off the

Page 158 Page 160 record.) Q. -- where you are with the owner. 1 1 2 MR. CARBONE: I'm just going to object to your 2 BY MR. GILL: Q. If you can look at the 12 lifted descriptions 3 form of summing up what he said because I disagree with 4 or cost items on page 5788. Aside -- except for line 4 that, but go ahead. 5 item 10 are there any costs listed here that are also 5 MR. GILL: That's fine. 6 included in the description of vendor's work as far as 6 BY MR. GILL: 7 you know? O. If Whitestone does prevail against Sciame or A. Item number 7 could be, item number 8 most 8 the owner and it is found that the RFI includes new 9 definitely and as -- and maybe certain portions of item 9 design criteria that was not previously known and 10 11 possibly. 10 Whitestone, therefore, recovers against Sciame or the 11 Q. The hardware? 11 owner, what is Whitestone going to do with the litigation A. Yeah, I don't know what -- it have to be more 12 12 against Yuanda? 13 detail. I -- but quite possibly 11. 13 A. Assuming we recover prior to the -- to the --Q. You had mentioned in -- a couple minutes ago 14 completing the litigation against Yuanda or assuming --15 when I was asking about the overhead and profit that to 15 Q. Let's take it in two parts. Prior to? 16 the extent that Whitestone is not able to recover from 16 A. If we recover from before Yuanda, then we 17 Sciame in this dispute. I want to talk about very 17 would settle -- we would -- I personally would see no 18 briefly the dispute with Sciame. Do you know where 18 reason to continue this. We would have to speak to 19 Whitestone is in its process of pursuing its dispute with 19 Yuanda directly and say look, guys, this is what it is, 20 Sciame or pursuing its claim? 20 let's make a decision, how we divvying this up and so A. We've provided our notice of dispute and our 21 forth. 22 description of dispute. The ball in court is in 22 Q. If -- if Sciame or the owner came to you or 23 Sciame/the owner, and it's been like that for a year and 23 if a court decided or the governor decided that 24 Whitestone is entitled to \$237,000 for this remedial 24 a half unfortunately. Page 159 Page 161 1 Q. In New York is there a process where the 1 work, what happens to the litigation? What if they 2 owner or the architect and a claimant have to proceed to 2 decide tomorrow that, what happens to the litigation that 3 have some kind of administrative hearing to address the 3 Whitestone has against Yuanda? 4 MR. CARBONE: All right. Objection. You're 4 dispute? 5 MR. CARBONE: I'm going to object. Aren't you 5 asking him to speculate, but he just answered that the 6 really asking him a legal question? 6 last question. MR. GILL: Honestly, I'm asking because I 7 MR. GILL: He said he would settle up with 8 don't know. I mean if he knows --8 Yuanda, and I want to make sure -- my follow-up question 9 MR. CARBONE: I could tell you. 9 was if Whitestone gets everything that they are -- they 10 MR. GILL: Okay. Well, we'll go off the 10 believe they are entitled to -- I don't understand what 11 he means by settle up with Yuanda, so that was my --11 record later and you can tell me. 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 MR. CARBONE: Okay. 13 MR. GILL: If he doesn't know, then I'll move 13 A. Well, the only --14 on. 14 MR. CARBONE: Hold on one second because it's 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 a mischaracterization of what he said because he said he A. I don't -- yeah, I'm not the proper person to 16 would approach Yuanda to see if they could work out a 16 17 ask. 17 settlement. That's what he said. 18 BY MR. GILL: 18 MR. GILL: Okay. Q. And the reason I'm asking -- and I'll tell 19 MR. CARBONE: Okay. 20 you. I'm not going to hide the ball. You said to the 20 MR. GILL: Let me withdraw the question and 21 extent that your claim against Sciame or the owner is 21 ask it a different way. 22 rejected Yuanda then you would feel owes Whitestone, so I 22

Q. If an administrator, the governor, Sciame,

24

23 BY MR. GILL:

23 want to understand --

A. Correct. Yes.

Page 162 Page 164 1 the owner before trial in this matter decides that Q. Okay. The second to the last line of the 2 Whitestone is entitled to the full amount it is seeking, 2 statement about what Steven Grzic knows and will testify 3 is there anything else that Whitestone wants from Yuanda? 3 about, it says: "Breaches of the contract at issue." Do A. I guess the only -- I'm sorry, Donald. Oh. 4 you see that? 5 The only -- the only remaining issue that I -- that I can A. Yes. 6 think of at this moment that would have to be resolved Q. And in your opinion, your lay opinion -- I 7 would be it's my understanding that Yuanda would owe 7 don't want a --8 us -- it's also -- we are also entitled to our legal fees A. Hold on. Hold on. Listen. Okay. Go ahead. 9 according to the purchase order from Yuanda. As far as I 9 I'm sorry. Q. I want to know what you believe or how you 10 can tell -- see right now, that should hypothetically we 10 11 settle up with the owner tomorrow, we get paid everything 11 believe Yuanda breached the contract. 12 we're asking for, the only thing in question that would 12 A. That once the system was rejected by the 13 remain would be if any legal fees would be reimbursed to 13 owner/construction manager that once Yuanda was notified 14 us. 14 by Whitestone and directed -- and directed by Whitestone 15 Q. One of the things that your -- the very first 15 to Yuanda to remediate that they refused to remediate it. 16 exhibit I showed you, Exhibit 20, which is your -- the Q. And remediate in the way you just said is pay 17 initial disclosures says that -- talks about the 17 for -- actually perform or pay for the installation of 18 aforesaid breaches on the contract, and I assume -- I 18 the new connection? 19 know that wasn't written by you but that was -- refers to 19 Correct. 20 breaches by Yuanda of the purchase order. 20 Q. And I want to make sure. I want to -- I want A. Where -- what --21 to -- we've talked about a lot and gone -- covered a lot 22 Q. It's the very --22 of ground, and I want to make sure I understand your 23 A. Exhibit 20? 23 position, so I want to summarize. 24 Exhibit 20 under the statement regarding your 24 And in summary, it's my understanding that Page 163 Page 165 1 knowledge. It's -- the aforesaid breaches is literally 1 you believe Yuanda's design of the WT-3 clerestory was 2 the last line but it kind of -- aforesaid refers to 2 defective because it did not accommodate the 3.4 inches 3 everything above that. Breaches of the contract at 3 of movement that the architect disclosed? 4 issue. A. Yes. A. What page? 5 Q. But you agree that Sciame, the architect 6 Q. The first page. 6 and/or the consultant did not provide that design 7 MR. CARBONE: Give me an opportunity to get 7 criteria, the 3.4 inches, until it provided the response 8 number 20. You say number 20 was his declaration? 8 to RFI 1130? MR. GILL: It was -- no, not the declaration. A. Yes, but I'd like to clarify going back to 10 It's the initial disclosure, the Rule 26 disclosure. 10 your first comment. I -- I -- I agree that it's 11 11 rejected by Whitestone as -- so inasmuch as it is MR. CARBONE: Oh, okay. 12 MR. GILL: It was the first one --12 rejected by the ownership and -- and the construction 13 MR. CARBONE: All right. Let me see. No, I

15 find it. 16 (Brief pause.) 17 No idea where it went. Do you mind if I 18 look over his shoulder?

19 MR. GILL: Go right ahead.

20 MR. CARBONE: Thank you.

21 MR. GILL: It's really just a question or two,

14 know. I know exactly what it looks like. I just need to

22 so go right ahead.

MR. CARBONE: Go ahead.

24 BY MR. GILL:

13 manager.

Q. I -- and just for clarification, I

15 intentionally did not say rejected. I say that the

16 clerestory was defective because of it did not

17 accommodate the movement. Okay.

18 A. Well, I -- I find -- okay. So --

19 Q. Okay. But -- but that is true --

20 MR. CARBONE: Wait. Wait. Wait. Adam, Adam,

21 he was in the middle of -- you're cutting him off. Let

22 him finish his thoughts, please.

23

24 BY MR. GILL:

Page 166	Page 168
1 Q. Okay. Please finish.	1 MR. GILL: And I believe that they're
2 A. Okay. I my stance is it is rejected by	2 reserving signature. They did so last time.
3 Whitestone to Yuanda inasmuch as it is well, I'm	3 MR. CARBONE: Yes, we are reserving signature.
4 sorry. What were the terms that you used, sorry, just so	4 (The deposition concluded
5 I can be on the record?	5 at 1:36 p.m.)
6 Q. The question I asked and you said yes to	6
7 and feel free to correct me or clarify. I'm not saying	7
8 it's set in stone. The question I asked is you believe	8
9 that Yuanda's design of the WT-3 clerestory was defective	9
10 because it did not accommodate the 3.4 inches of building	10
11 movement.	11
12 A. I feel that their design was defective	12
13 inasmuch as it is rejected by the owner and and	13
14 Sciame.	14
Q. Do you have an opinion, you personally have	15
16 an opinion whether the clerestory was defective because	16
17 it failed to accommodate the movement, the 3.4 inches of	17
18 movement?	18
19 A. Well, yes, it is defective if it failed to	19
20 accommodate for the 3.4 inches of movement. In that	20
21 in that sense, yes, it is defective.	21
22 Q. And you agree that Yuanda was not told by	22
23 Sciame, the architect or the consultants that the	23
24 criteria was 3.4 inches of movement until after the RFI	24
Page 167	Page 169
1 1130 response?	1 SIGNATURE:
2 A. That I agree with, yes.	2 It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that
3 Q. At the time that Whitestone completed	3 the Deponent will read and sign the transcript of said
4 installation of the WT-3 clerestory did Whitestone have	4 deposition.
5 any reason to know that the building movement needed to	5
6 accommodate 3.4 inches of movement?	6
7 A. At the time of?	7
8 Q. Completion of the original WT-3 clerestory	8
9 installation.	9
10 A. No, we were not aware of that 3.4 inch	10
11 requirement as far as I know. I was not aware of it.	11
12 Q. At the time that Whitestone completed	12
	12
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any	13
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any	13
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda	13 14
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the	13 14 15
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order?	13 14 15 16
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order? 17 A. No.	13 14 15 16 17
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order? 17 A. No. 18 MR. GILL: That's all I have.	13 14 15 16 17 18
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order? 17 A. No. 18 MR. GILL: That's all I have. 19 MR. CARBONE: You're done?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order? 17 A. No. 18 MR. GILL: That's all I have. 19 MR. CARBONE: You're done? 20 MR. GILL: That's it.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
13 installation of the WT-3 clerestory, did you have any 14 reason to believe that the components that Yuanda 15 fabricated did not comply with the requirements of the 16 contract documents or purchase order? 17 A. No. 18 MR. GILL: That's all I have. 19 MR. CARBONE: You're done? 20 MR. GILL: That's it. 21 MR. CARBONE: Okay. Good.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

Page 170	Page 172
1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)	1 Veritext Legal Solutions
) SS: 2 COUNTY OF C O O K)	1100 Superior Ave
3	2 Suite 1820
I, KELLY A. BRICHETTO, a Certified Shorthand 4	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Reporter of said state, do hereby certify	3 Phone: 216-523-1313
5 that the within named witness, STEVEN GRZIC, was by me	4 February 10, 2021
6 first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth	5 To: Mr. Carbone
7	6 Case Name: Whitestone Construction Corp. v. Yuanda USA Corp.
and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that	7 Veritext Reference Number: 4429365
the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness	8 Witness: Steven Grzic Deposition Date: 1/27/2021
9 was by me reduced to stenotype in the presence of said	9 Dear Sir/Madam:
10 witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing	10 The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced
witness, are wards transcribed, and that the folegoing	11 matter, with the reading and signing having not been
is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so 12	12 expressly waived, has been completed and is available
given by the above-referenced witness.	13 for review and signature. Please call our office to
I do further certify that this deposition was	14 make arrangements for a convenient location to
14	15 accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript
taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption 15	16 can be purchased.
specified and was completed without adjournment. 16	17 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your
I do further certify that I am not a relative,	18 receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be
17 counsel or attorney for either party or otherwise	19 deemed waived. 20
18	
interested in the event of this action. 19	Sincerely,
20 21	22 Production Department
22	23
23 24	24 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
Page 171	Page 173
1 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand	1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2 this 10th day of February, 2021.	2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4429365
3	3 CASE NAME: Whitestone Construction Corp. v. Yuanda USA Corp.
4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/27/2021 4 WITNESS' NAME: Steven Grzic
5	5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil
6 Killy Brichetto	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me.
7 KELLY A. BRICHETTO	7 I have made no changes to the testimony
8 CSR License No. 84-3252	as transcribed by the court reporter.
9	9 Date Steven Grzic 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
10	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
11	11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:
12	12
13	They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn
14	Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of
15	their free act and deed.
16	I have affixed my name and official seal
17	16
18	this day of, 20
19	18 Notary Public
20	
21	Commission Expiration Date
22	21
23	22 23
	24
24	25

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

		.
1	DEDOCITION DEVIEW	Page 174
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS	
2		
3	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4429365 CASE NAME: Whitestone Construction Corp. v. Yuanda USA Corp.	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/27/2021	
4	WITNESS' NAME: Steven Grzic	
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of	
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.	
7	I have listed my changes on the attached	
8	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s).	
9	I request that these changes be entered	
10	as part of the record of my testimony.	
10	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well	
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize	
12	that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein.	
13		
	Date Steven Grzic	
14	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a	
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County,	
	the referenced witness did personally appear	
16 17	and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript;	
1,	They have listed all of their corrections	
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;	
19	They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and	
	Their execution of this Statement is of	
20 21	their free act and deed.	
	I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	
23	· 	
24	Notary Public	
24		
~ -		
25	Commission Expiration Date	
25	Commission Expiration Date	Page 175
1	Commission Expiration Date ERRATA SHEET	Page 175
	ERRATA SHEET	Page 175
1	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	Page 175
1 2	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021	Page 175
1 2 3	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Steven Grzic SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Steven Grzic SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/27/2021 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	

45 (Pages 174 - 175)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.